

Another Testament Against Itself

Series 1-30

By Paul Tackett

VerseQuest Ministries

Introduction to *Another Testament Against Itself*

Why We Wrote This Series

A Necessary Battle

The Book of Mormon has strutted around since 1830 under the pompous subtitle “*Another Testament of Jesus Christ*.” Missionaries with white shirts and name tags knock on doors worldwide, peddling it as scripture equal to — or above — the Holy Bible. Millions have been told to “pray about it” instead of testing it. For nearly two centuries, this counterfeit book has tried to sit in the same seat as the King James Bible.

This series was written to drag it down from that throne. Not gently. Not politely. But with the sledgehammer of Scripture. Because the Bible doesn’t ask for coexistence with lies. The Bible exposes, rebukes, and condemns them. Galatians 1:8–9 doesn’t mince words: “*Though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel... let him be accursed.*” That’s Joseph Smith’s angel Moroni right there in the crosshairs.

Why This Series Exists

There are already books, articles, and ministries that tackle Mormonism. Good men have charted its history, dissected its doctrines, and traced its roots back to folk magic, masonry, and Joseph Smith’s imagination. But what this series does is different:

- **We put the Book of Mormon on trial.** Not just the LDS Church, not just Joseph Smith, but the book itself — its text, its contradictions, its false prophecies, its plagiarism. We sift it line by line, doctrine by doctrine, and weigh it against the KJV Bible.
- **We expose it from a Bible-believer’s perspective.** Not from Catholic tradition. Not from watered-down scholarship. But from the old Book that never fails — the King

James Bible. When you hold up the Book of Mormon against God's Word, it doesn't just crack; it implodes.

- **We do it with fire.** This isn't soft-handed apologetics. This isn't academic neutrality. This is preaching. Sarcasm. Righteous mockery. Bold proclamation. Because when Paul wrote Galatians, he didn't compliment the Judaizers. He cursed their false gospel. That's what this series does to Joseph Smith's counterfeit.

Why It Matters

Some might say, "Why waste time on Mormons?" Because souls are at stake. Mormonism isn't a harmless "Christian sect." It's a wolf in sheep's clothing, leading millions into works-based damnation. It's another gospel, another Jesus, another spirit. And if the Bible says one soul is worth more than the whole world (Mark 8:36), then this fight is worth it.

The KJV Bible is unshaken, unmovable, untouchable. Every page, every verse, every prophecy stands. The Book of Mormon, by contrast, collapses under its own weight the moment you scrutinize it. Archaeology denies it. History disproves it. Science dismantles it. The Bible condemns it.

This series was written so that no one can say they weren't warned. It is a resource, a weapon, and a hammer against the lies of Mormonism. And it complements the other works out there by taking the battle all the way to the root — the "another testament" itself.

The Final Word

So here's the verdict before you even read the thirty essays:

- The King James Bible stands.
- The Book of Mormon falls.
- The Gospel of Grace lives.
- The Mormon counterfeit dies.

Everything you're about to read will hammer that truth into iron. The Book of Mormon claimed to be *Another Testament of Jesus Christ*. This series proves it is *Another Testament Against Itself*.

1 of 30 – Another Testament Against Itself

The Introduction: Why One “Testament” Too Many

The Bible is complete (Rev. 22:18–19). Adding the Book of Mormon exposes the Mormon system as a house of cards.

Paragraph 1 – Opening Salvo

You ever notice how every false prophet always shows up with *one more book*? The Bible isn't enough for them. Mohammed comes along with the Qur'an. Joseph Smith comes along with the Book of Mormon. Mary Baker Eddy scribbles out her “Science and Health.” Charles Taze Russell dreams up his Watchtower nonsense. It's always the same song, different verse: “Oh, the Bible's good, but we've got *something extra*.” Friend, the Devil has been running this scam since Genesis 3—“Yea, hath God said?” (Gen. 3:1). The strategy never changes: undermine the Word of God, tack on some “new revelation,” and presto—you've got yourself a cult.

The Book of Mormon brands itself as “Another Testament of Jesus Christ.” Notice the arrogance baked right into the title. It doesn't call itself a commentary. It doesn't call itself a history. It flat-out claims to be **equal** to the Old Testament and the New Testament. Right out of the gate, it's already guilty of high treason against God's Book. Because according to the KJV, the canon is shut tighter than a drum.

The Final Seal on Scripture

Let's start with the obvious nail in the coffin: Revelation 22:18–19.

“For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book.” (Rev. 22:18–19)

That's not a suggestion. That's a warning. And it's not just about the book of Revelation itself—it's God's way of slamming the lid on His complete written revelation. Genesis opens the Book, Revelation closes it. In between, you've got sixty-six books tied together by the Holy Ghost with one consistent theme: the glory of Jesus Christ. Add one more book, and you're not “helping” God—you're crossing Him.

But Joseph Smith didn't care. He shoved his "gold plates" hoax into the spotlight in 1830 and declared that mankind had been missing half the gospel for 1,800 years. Really? You mean all those centuries of martyrs, preachers, missionaries, and Bible translators were working with only half a deck? That's not just nonsense—it's blasphemy.

The Audacity of "Another Testament"

Think about it: if you add one more "testament," what does that say about the Old and New Testaments? It says they're not enough. It says they're incomplete. It says God messed up and forgot to mention His Son's cameo trip to the Americas. That's the audacity of the Mormon claim.

Now, of course, they'll tell you, "Oh, but the Book of Mormon *confirms* the Bible." No, it doesn't. It contradicts it. And worse, it undermines it. If I walk into a courtroom and the judge says, "This case is closed," and I slap down one more affidavit, the case isn't confirmed—it's reopened. And the Bible said the case was closed two thousand years ago.

By calling itself "Another Testament," the Book of Mormon admits what it's trying to do: rewrite the covenant. But Hebrews 9:15 says Christ is "the mediator of the new testament." Singular. Not new testaments, plural. One. And if you need more than that, you're not saved.

The Devil's Old Trick

Here's the kicker: the Devil doesn't have to burn the Bible to undermine it. All he has to do is pile another book on top of it. You bury the sword of the Spirit under enough extra junk, and soon the average soul can't find the edge anymore. That's why the Apocrypha got crammed into the Catholic Bible. That's why Islam waves the Qur'an. That's why Mormons lug around their triple-combo. You drown the voice of God under a heap of lies.

It's the same tactic Satan tried on Jesus in the wilderness. He quoted Scripture—twisted it, misapplied it—and Christ came back with, "It is written" (Matt. 4:4, 7, 10). Every false religion does the same thing: add, subtract, or twist. The Mormon Church added "Another Testament." And when you shine the light of the KJV on it, the whole thing crumbles like a sandcastle in a hurricane.

Historical Context – A 19th Century Hoax

The Book of Mormon didn't drop out of heaven on gold plates. It dropped out of the fever swamp of 19th-century American religious hucksterism. Everybody and their uncle was "seeing visions" back then. The Shakers, the Millerites, the Adventists—you couldn't walk five feet in upstate New York without tripping over another "prophet." Joseph Smith was just one more grifter with a bigger imagination.

He claimed he was shown golden plates by an angel named Moroni. He claimed he translated them with "seer stones" stuck in a hat. That's not divine inspiration—that's a carnival act. You'd get laughed out of a Bible-believing pulpit if you tried to pull that stunt. But Smith's followers swallowed it because he dressed it up in biblical-sounding language. That's always the hook: a little Bible vocabulary, a lot of human imagination.

And notice this: while the KJV translators bled, studied, and toiled over the Greek and Hebrew, Joseph Smith stuck his face in a hat and called it "revelation." One is scholarship. The other is sorcery.

Internal Contradictions – Another Book Against Itself

The Book of Mormon calls itself "the most correct book on earth." Joseph Smith said a man would get nearer to God by abiding by it than by any other book. Well, let's test it. The book contradicts itself, plagiarizes the Bible, and makes historical blunders so big you could drive a Brigham Young wagon train through them.

Examples? It quotes whole chapters of Isaiah word-for-word from the 1611 King James Bible—archaic English and all. Are we supposed to believe Nephi wrote in Jacobean English 1,800 years before King James was even born? That's not prophecy—that's plagiarism. It talks about "steel swords" in 600 B.C. America when no steel existed there. It talks about horses, elephants, wheat, and coins—none of which existed in pre-Columbian America. The archaeologists laugh at it. The linguists laugh at it. The historians laugh at it. The only people who don't laugh are the poor souls duped into thinking it's scripture.

Why One Testament Too Many

So why is the Book of Mormon "one testament too many"? Because the Bible already gives us:

1. **A Perfect Old Testament** – 39 books, written over 1,500 years, preserved word-for-word through the prophets.

2. **A Perfect New Testament** – 27 books, written within the first century, confirmed by apostles and martyrs.
3. **A Perfect Savior** – revealed in both testaments, crucified, risen, and coming again.

You don't need a "new" witness to Jesus Christ. The Bible already testifies of Him from Genesis to Revelation. In Luke 24:27, Jesus expounded "in all the scriptures the things concerning himself." He didn't need golden plates. He didn't need another book. He pointed back to Moses and the prophets. If that's good enough for the risen Son of God, it ought to be good enough for you.

But Mormons say, "Read the Book of Mormon and pray about it." Wrong. The Bible doesn't tell you to pray about whether Scripture is true. It says, "Faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God" (Rom. 10:17). You don't pray for goosebumps. You believe God's Word.

Drawing the Sword

That's why this series matters. We're not just going to skim over Mormonism's goofy doctrines about Kolob or spirit babies. We're going to stick a crowbar under the very foundation: the claim that the Book of Mormon is scripture. Once that collapses, the whole system topples.

And it will collapse, because the Word of God always wins. "Is not my word like as a fire? saith the LORD; and like a hammer that breaketh the rock in pieces?" (Jer. 23:29). The KJV isn't afraid of competition. Put it next to the Book of Mormon, and the counterfeit disintegrates. Every single essay in this series will drive that point home until the dust settles and the truth stands clear: the Bible is enough, and the Book of Mormon is one testament too many.

Closing Shot

When the smoke clears, you'll see it plain. The Book of Mormon isn't another testament. It's another lie. Another counterfeit. Another satanic trick to get men's eyes off the blood of Jesus Christ and onto the ramblings of a 19th-century conman.

The KJV Bible doesn't need help. It doesn't need supplements. It doesn't need Joseph Smith's hat tricks. It stands alone, sharp and shining, the preserved words of the living God.

So buckle your seatbelts. This is just the introduction. We're about to sift "Another Testament" until nothing's left but dust. And when it's over, there'll be no doubt: God wrote one Book, and He didn't need Joseph Smith's help.

2 of 30 – The “Most Correct Book”?

Joseph Smith claimed it was the “most correct” book on earth. We'll line it up with the KJV and show it's a Frankenstein of plagiarized verses and contradictions.

Introduction: The Arrogance of “Most Correct”

Joseph Smith once boasted that *“the Book of Mormon is the most correct of any book on earth, and a man would get nearer to God by abiding by its precepts than by any other book.”* Bold words, aren't they? Imagine a farm boy from Palmyra sticking his face in a hat with magic peep stones, dictating fairy tales to a scribe, and then claiming his handiwork outshines sixty-six books inspired by the Holy Ghost over 1,500 years of history. That's like comparing a dime-store comic book to the Declaration of Independence and swearing the comic's more authoritative.

Now, here's the thing: if Joseph Smith had just said, “This is a helpful book,” or “This is a supplement,” it would still be blasphemy—but at least the claim would be modest. Instead, he declared it's the “most correct book on earth.” You couldn't ask for a bigger target. Because the moment you elevate your words above God's words, you've handed the Bible believer a loaded shotgun.

So let's do what Smith never thought anyone would bother to do. Let's test the claim. Let's line the Book of Mormon against the King James Bible and see if it holds water. Spoiler alert: it doesn't. It leaks faster than a canoe made of Swiss cheese.

Section 1: What Does “Most Correct” Even Mean?

Let's pause on Joseph Smith's phrase. “Most correct.” What is that supposed to mean? Either something is correct, or it isn't. You can't be “most correct” any more than you can be “most pregnant.” You either are, or you aren't.

The KJV never boasts about itself in human pride—it simply declares: *“Thy word is true from the beginning: and every one of thy righteous judgments endureth for ever”* (Psalm

119:160). That's correctness. Perfection. Preservation. Jesus Himself prayed: "*Sanctify them through thy truth: thy word is truth*" (John 17:17). Not "more true than the rest." Truth. Period.

But Joseph Smith was a salesman. And like every conman, he knew you sell snake oil by exaggerating. "This tonic will cure everything!" "This book is the most correct!" That's the patter of a hustler. And Mormons have been swallowing it for nearly two centuries.

Section 2: The Frankenstein Problem

Open the Book of Mormon and you're not reading ancient golden plates from 600 B.C. You're reading a Frankenstein of recycled material. It's stitched together from:

1. **Plagiarized King James verses** – word-for-word lifts from the 1611 KJV, complete with italics and Jacobean English.
2. **Contradictions of itself and the Bible** – teaching salvation by works, then grace, then works again, like a drunk zigzagging home.
3. **19th-century cultural baggage** – Masonry, anti-Catholic rhetoric, frontier revival phrases, and even debates from Joseph Smith's neighborhood.

That's not "most correct." That's "most cobbled together." If you slapped duct tape on a broken wagon, glued the tires from a bicycle, and screwed on a car hood, you wouldn't have a "most correct" vehicle. You'd have a Franken-wagon. And that's the Book of Mormon.

Section 3: Plagiarism from the KJV

One of the most damning evidences is the blatant plagiarism of the KJV. If the Book of Mormon were truly translated from "Reformed Egyptian" (a language no scholar has ever found), why does it contain long verbatim passages from the King James Bible—including the italicized words?

Examples:

- **2 Nephi 12–24** copies Isaiah 2–14 almost word-for-word.
- **Mosiah 14** reproduces Isaiah 53, Jacobean English intact.
- **3 Nephi 12–14** copies the Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5–7).

Even the italicized words, which were added by KJV translators for clarity, show up in the Book of Mormon. That means Smith wasn't translating anything—he was reading a KJV and sprinkling it into his narrative. That's not divine inspiration. That's cut-and-paste with a quill pen.

Imagine if I "translated" ancient Mayan tablets and produced whole paragraphs from Shakespeare, word for word. You'd laugh me out of town. But because Joseph Smith dressed it up in religious garb, people swallowed it.

Section 4: Contradictions With Itself

The "most correct book" can't even keep its own doctrine straight. One moment it says salvation is by grace, another it says by works.

- **2 Nephi 25:23:** *"For we know that it is by grace that we are saved, after all we can do."* Translation: grace kicks in only once you've exhausted your own efforts.
- **Mosiah 18:9:** ties salvation to covenant obedience and works.
- **Moroni 10:32:** requires denying yourself of all ungodliness before grace applies.

Now compare with the KJV: *"For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast"* (Eph. 2:8–9). Grace isn't "after all you can do." Grace is **because you can't do**.

So which is it? Works, grace, or grace plus works? The "most correct book" gives three different gospels. And Paul said: *"But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel... let him be accursed"* (Gal. 1:8). By that standard, Joseph Smith and his golden-plate angel are accursed.

Section 5: Historical Blunders

If the Book of Mormon were "most correct," it wouldn't rewrite history with laughable errors. Yet it talks about:

- **Steel** in 600 B.C. America (1 Nephi 4:9). Archaeology says no steel.
- **Horses and chariots** in ancient America (Alma 18:9–12). No evidence.
- **Coins** of the Nephites (Alma 11:3–19). No archaeological support.
- **Elephants** roaming with the Jaredites (Ether 9:19). Extinct for thousands of years.

The Bible, by contrast, has never been disproved by archaeology. The walls of Jericho fell. The Hittites were rediscovered. Pontius Pilate's name showed up on stone. But Mormon "history" collapses under a magnifying glass. Correct? Not hardly.

Section 6: Theological Corruption

The Bible says: *"In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God"* (John 1:1). Eternal deity of Christ, plain as day.

But the Book of Mormon muddies the waters. In Mosiah 15:1–5, it says the Father and Son are the same person, contradicting its own later teachings about them being separate beings. Then Mormon theology evolves further into man becoming gods. That's not clarity—that's doctrinal spaghetti.

The "most correct" book ought to clear things up. Instead, it confuses them. The KJV speaks plainly: Jesus is eternal God, distinct from the Father, one with Him, yet mediator for man. No contradictions, no backpedaling.

Section 7: The Spirit of the Hustler

When Joseph Smith called his book "most correct," he was running a classic hustle. The snake-oil man always brags louder than the product can deliver. If the Book of Mormon were correct, it wouldn't need hype. The KJV never needed Joseph Smith's endorsement. It stood the test of centuries on its own.

And here's the irony: Mormons often claim the Bible is "corrupted" or "mistranslated." Yet their book plagiarizes it word for word. Which is it? If the Bible can't be trusted, then neither can the Book of Mormon, because it borrows from it wholesale. That's what happens when you build a house of cards.

Section 8: The KJV Standard

Let's line it up clearly:

- The KJV has prophecy fulfilled to the letter. The Book of Mormon has no fulfilled prophecies.
- The KJV has historical confirmation. The Book of Mormon has zero archaeological support.

- The KJV presents one gospel of grace. The Book of Mormon presents a shifting gospel of works.
- The KJV exalts Christ alone. The Book of Mormon exalts Joseph Smith as its prophet.

By every standard, the Bible proves itself. The Book of Mormon proves itself false.

Conclusion: The Most Correct Hoax

So, is the Book of Mormon the “most correct book on earth”? Only if “correct” means “most correctly exposes its own fraud.” Joseph Smith handed us a Frankenstein stitched together from plagiarized KJV passages, contradictions, and historical fantasies. And the moment you shine the light of the KJV on it, the monster collapses.

The real “most correct book” isn’t the Book of Mormon—it’s the Book God already wrote. The King James Bible. *“The words of the LORD are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O LORD, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever”* (Psalm 12:6–7).

That’s purity. That’s correctness. That’s perfection.

Joseph Smith built a counterfeit. But the counterfeit only proves the value of the original. And the original is still standing tall: the King James Bible, the final authority, the sword of the Spirit, the incorruptible Word of God.

3 of 30 – Witnesses Who Vanished

The “Three Witnesses” and the “Eight Witnesses” all left or broke with Joseph Smith. Compare with biblical eyewitnesses of Christ who stood unto death.

Introduction: The Case of the Vanishing Witnesses

Every courtroom drama hinges on one thing: witnesses. You can talk theories, spin stories, wave your arms all you want, but when it comes down to conviction, you need witnesses who hold steady under cross-examination. Now, Joseph Smith knew this. He cooked up his golden plates story and realized nobody with half a brain was going to buy it unless he could trot out some men who would say, “Yep, we saw them too.”

So enter the “Three Witnesses” and the “Eight Witnesses.” Their names are printed right in the front of the Book of Mormon

Book of Mormon

. Eleven men swearing they saw, touched, and hefted golden plates. Sounds impressive on paper, doesn't it? But here's the kicker: nearly every one of them either left the Mormon Church, broke with Joseph Smith, or was exposed as unreliable. Compare that clown show with the eyewitnesses of the resurrected Christ in the New Testament—men who sealed their testimony with their blood. That's the contrast. On one side: vanishing witnesses. On the other side: steadfast martyrs.

So let's line them up and see who stands, and who folds.

Section 1: The Three Witnesses – Cowdery, Whitmer, Harris

The “Three Witnesses” were Oliver Cowdery, David Whitmer, and Martin Harris

Book of Mormon

. Their testimony in the Book of Mormon says they saw the plates by “the power of God,” and that an angel laid them before their eyes. Grand stuff. Problem is, every one of them broke with Joseph Smith.

- **Oliver Cowdery:** He was Joseph's right-hand man, co-founder of the Church. Later, he was excommunicated for disputing Smith's authority, accusing him of adultery, and even charging him with fraud. He died outside of fellowship, only barely rejoining on his deathbed.
- **David Whitmer:** He left the Church, denounced Joseph Smith as a fallen prophet, and published his own pamphlet declaring the LDS Church an apostasy.
- **Martin Harris:** Known as “the village half-wit” even by his neighbors. He changed religions like socks—Methodist, Baptist, Quaker, Universalist, Shaker, Mormon, and then back again. He admitted at one point that he saw the plates only with “the eyes of faith.” That's not an eyewitness—that's imagination.

If these were the “star witnesses” for the defense, the case is dead before it gets to the jury.

Section 2: The Eight Witnesses – Smiths, Whitmers, and Page

The “Eight Witnesses” supposedly “handled” the plates, felt the engravings, and swore they saw “curious workmanship”

Book of Mormon

. Sounds solid—until you follow the trail.

- Four of them were Whitmers—family loyalty at work.
- Three of them were Smith relatives—nepotism at its finest.
- The last, Hiram Page, later followed a splinter sect.

Of these eight, most left the LDS Church at some point. John Whitmer was excommunicated. David Whitmer (again, part of the clan) broke off. Even Hiram Page denounced Joseph Smith’s leadership. Imagine a courtroom where the witnesses are all relatives of the accused, and then half of them storm out saying, “We don’t believe him anymore.” You’d laugh the case out of court.

Section 3: Shifting Testimonies

One of the fatal cracks in the “witness” scheme is the shifting language. Some admitted later that they saw the plates “in a vision” rather than physically. Harris flip-flopped, saying he only saw them with the “eye of faith.” That’s not legal testimony—that’s dream language.

Compare with 1 John 1:1: *“That which was from the beginning, which we have heard, which we have seen with our eyes, which we have looked upon, and our hands have handled, of the Word of life.”* That’s the language of the apostles about the risen Christ. Not “in a vision.” Not “with the eye of faith.” But with their own hands, eyes, and ears.

That’s the difference between real testimony and cooked-up hallucinations.

Section 4: Contrast With Apostolic Witnesses

Now line this up with the apostles. Peter, James, John, Paul—men who saw the risen Christ, preached Him, and then went to their deaths rather than recant.

- **Peter:** crucified upside down.
- **James:** beheaded by Herod.
- **John:** exiled to Patmos after boiling oil couldn’t kill him.

- **Paul:** beheaded in Rome.

Not one of them broke. Not one of them turned around and said, “Well, I think it was just in a vision.” They stood fast unto death. That’s credibility. That’s witness.

Now stack that against eleven men who either apostatized, got excommunicated, or admitted their visions were subjective. Who are you going to trust?

Section 5: Why Witnesses Matter

Joseph Smith knew the Bible had apostles and prophets who saw Christ. So he tried to mirror it with his own crew of “witnesses.” But mirrors distort. His witnesses fell away, contradicted themselves, and left his Church in shambles.

The KJV standard of witnesses is solid: *“In the mouth of two or three witnesses shall every word be established”* (2 Cor. 13:1). Christ had more than five hundred witnesses of His resurrection (1 Cor. 15:6). Joseph Smith had eleven, and half of them bailed. That’s not establishment—that’s collapse.

Section 6: The Spirit of Truth vs. the Spirit of Error

The Holy Spirit produces boldness. Acts 4:20 says: *“For we cannot but speak the things which we have seen and heard.”* The Mormon witnesses couldn’t keep their story straight. That’s not the Spirit of truth. That’s the spirit of error.

If the Book of Mormon were true, its witnesses would have stood like the apostles—unmovable, unshakable, dying if necessary. Instead, they drifted, defected, and denied. That alone shatters the case.

Conclusion: The Vanishing Act

The “most correct book on earth” (to borrow Smith’s earlier boast) has the most incorrect witnesses. Eleven men who couldn’t keep their faith, couldn’t hold their testimony, and in many cases outright opposed Joseph Smith later. Compare that with the eyewitnesses of the Bible, who never recanted, never wavered, and whose blood sealed their testimony forever.

So what do we have? Another testament against itself. The witnesses vanish, but the truth remains. Jesus Christ is risen, the Bible is enough, and Joseph Smith's paper empire collapses under scrutiny.

4 of 30 – The God Who Changes

Mormon theology: Jesus is “the Eternal God manifesting Himself unto all nations” (title page), yet they deny the Trinity. Contrast with John 1:1, Hebrews 13:8.

Introduction: Contradiction on the Cover

Let's start with the irony. The very title page of the Book of Mormon calls Jesus Christ “*the Eternal God, manifesting himself unto all nations.*”

Book of Mormon

If you didn't know better, you might think the LDS Church was orthodox about Christ's deity. You'd think they believed in the eternal Son, co-equal with the Father and the Spirit. But flip the page, sit in their meetings, and listen to their prophets, and you find a different story.

Mormonism teaches a “Godhead” of three separate beings—Elohim the Father, Jehovah the Son, and the Holy Ghost—three gods loosely tied together in “purpose.” Jesus is the “firstborn spirit child” of Heavenly Father and one of his wives. Lucifer is presented as his brother. Exaltation means you too can become a god. That isn't eternal, unchanging deity. That's pagan polytheism with a Christian mask.

The contradiction starts right on the title page: Eternal God in one line, progressive deity in their doctrine. The Bible thunders otherwise: “*In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God*” (John 1:1). “*Jesus Christ the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever*” (Hebrews 13:8). No progression. No ladder-climbing. No heavenly family tree of gods. Eternal God means eternal. Period.

Section 1: Eternal God vs. Eternal Boy Scout

The LDS Christ isn't the eternal God—He's the eternal Boy Scout who got promoted. In their theology, Jesus earned His place by obedience, worthiness, and being the “firstborn.” That's not God. That's a glorified creature.

Contrast that with the Bible's picture. Psalm 90:2 declares: *"From everlasting to everlasting, thou art God."* Colossians 1:17 says: *"And he is before all things, and by him all things consist."* Before Abraham was, He is (John 8:58). Eternal doesn't mean "the oldest kid in the family of gods." Eternal means without beginning and without end.

If Jesus is Eternal God, He doesn't have a birthday in Heaven. He isn't promoted to deity. He isn't one god among many. He is the only God, manifest in the flesh (1 Tim. 3:16).

Section 2: Hebrews 13:8 – The Unchanging Christ

The Book of Mormon's title page accidentally tells the truth: Jesus is Eternal God. But the system can't sustain it. Why? Because Mormonism runs on a doctrine of change. Their God is always shifting.

But Hebrews 13:8 destroys the whole scheme: *"Jesus Christ the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever."* If Christ is the same, He didn't start out as a spirit child. He didn't change from lesser to greater. He didn't progress. He is fixed, immutable, absolute.

Mormonism requires a changing God because it's a religion of progression: men becoming gods, gods creating more men, the cycle never ending. But Christianity proclaims an unchanging God because salvation isn't about progression—it's about grace. If Christ changes, the gospel changes. If Christ is immutable, the gospel is unshakable.

Section 3: The Polytheism Problem

Isaiah 43:10 says: *"Before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me."* Clear as glass. One God. Eternal. Unchanging. No gods before, no gods after.

Now line that up with Mormon doctrine: Elohim was once a man on another planet, progressed to godhood, fathered Jesus and Lucifer, and now rules this world. Meanwhile, faithful Mormons can progress to their own godhood and populate their own planets. That's not Isaiah 43:10—that's Greek mythology mixed with science fiction.

The Book of Mormon title page says Jesus is the Eternal God. LDS theology says He's a separate god in a council of gods. The contradiction is enough to topple the whole system.

Section 4: Eternal Creator vs. Created Christ

John 1:3 says: *“All things were made by him; and without him was not any thing made that was made.”* That verse alone kills the LDS doctrine that Jesus was created. If He made *all things*, He couldn’t be made Himself. He is the Maker, not the made.

Mormon theology paints Jesus as the first creation of Elohim. But the title page calls Him Eternal God. Which is it? Eternal God or created being? You can’t have both. If Christ created all things, then Elohim is His creation too. If Christ is created, John 1:3 is a lie. Pick one.

Section 5: A God Who Changes = A Gospel That Changes

Why does this matter? Because a changing God means a changing gospel. And Mormonism proves it:

- **Early Smith:** The Book of Mormon presents a monotheistic God.
- **Middle Smith:** Doctrine and Covenants introduces the idea of separate personages.
- **Late Smith:** The King Follett Discourse openly preaches that God was once a man.

The Mormon god mutates with Joseph Smith’s imagination. But the God of the Bible doesn’t. Malachi 3:6: *“For I am the LORD, I change not; therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed.”*

When your god changes, your salvation is never sure. When your God is eternal, you can rest in Him.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – “Heavenly Father’s Upgrade Plan”

Let’s pause and lay it out in plain sarcasm. The Mormon god runs an eternal upgrade plan. Start as a man, keep the commandments, tithe 10%, wear your garments, marry in the temple, get sealed for eternity, and presto—after enough time you too can be upgraded to deity. Then you can start the process all over again with your own planet.

Sounds more like a video game than the gospel. That’s not eternal God—that’s eternal nonsense.

Section 7: The Witness of the Bible

The KJV thunders against the LDS system:

- **John 1:1** – Christ is God from the beginning.
- **Colossians 2:9** – *“In him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily.”*
- **Revelation 1:8** – He is Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the ending.
- **Hebrews 13:8** – He is the same yesterday, today, and forever.
- **Psalms 102:27** – *“But thou art the same, and thy years shall have no end.”*

That’s eternal deity. Not a progressing god. Not a promoted god. Not a god among many. The God.

Section 8: The Death Blow – Eternal Means Eternal

Let’s circle back to the title page: *“Jesus is the Christ, the Eternal God, manifesting himself unto all nations.”*

Book of Mormon

That’s their book’s claim. If they really believed that, they’d have to confess the historic, biblical doctrine of the Trinity. But they don’t. They deny it. Which means the Book of Mormon stands as a witness against their own theology. Another testament against itself.

Conclusion: The Eternal God vs. The God Who Changes

The God of the Bible is eternal, immutable, unchanging, absolute. The Christ of Scripture is the same forever, never evolving, never promoted, never progressing. The god of Mormonism is a god who changes—shifting definitions, evolving doctrines, and mutating with every prophet’s imagination.

You choose which God you’ll follow: the Eternal God of the King James Bible, or the god who changes like a chameleon in the Mormon desert. As for me, I’ll stick with the Rock that doesn’t move.

5 of 30 – Jerusalem by the Red Sea?

1 Nephi 2:5 puts the Red Sea beside Jerusalem. A geographical face-plant against real maps and Bible truth.

Introduction: A Cartographer's Nightmare

In 1 Nephi 2:5, the Book of Mormon makes one of the most laughable geographical blunders in all of religious literature. It says that Lehi and his family *“came down by the borders near the shore of the Red Sea; and he traveled in the wilderness in the borders which are nearer the Red Sea; and he came down by the borders near the shore of the Red Sea; and he traveled in the wilderness in the borders which are nearer the Red Sea.”*

Now, if you're not paying attention, that might sound poetic. But if you've ever looked at a map in your life, you know the Red Sea isn't anywhere near Jerusalem. We're talking about 200 miles away through mountains and desert. You don't just “step out of Jerusalem” and hit the Red Sea like it's the local swimming hole. Yet Joseph Smith, a man who never saw the geography of the Middle East, thought he could fake it. And in the process, he gave us the ultimate “face-plant” verse.

This is the problem with counterfeits. They always slip on the little details. A real book inspired by God knows where the Red Sea is. A made-up one can't keep its geography straight. And when the Book of Mormon botches a simple map, it tells you everything you need to know about its divine credibility: zero.

Section 1: The Geography According to the KJV

The King James Bible mentions the Red Sea more than twenty times, and every time it does, the geography checks out. When Israel came out of Egypt, they crossed the Red Sea (Ex. 14:16–22). Solomon built a navy at Ezion-Geber “beside Elath, on the shore of the Red sea, in the land of Edom” (1 Kings 9:26). In other words, the Red Sea is hundreds of miles south of Jerusalem, down through wilderness territory, nowhere near the city.

God's Book knows its maps. Archeology, history, and geography back it up. When the Bible says Israel crossed the Red Sea, it fits with the wilderness wanderings. When the Bible mentions ships on the Red Sea, it fits with trade routes. Not once does it say the Red Sea is “next door” to Jerusalem.

But Joseph Smith didn't have the maps. He only had his imagination, and like a kid drawing with crayons, he smeared Jerusalem right on the shore.

Section 2: Joseph Smith's Geography – A Blind Man's Bluff

Think about the setting in 1830. Joseph Smith was a farm boy in upstate New York. He had a King James Bible, some second-hand stories about the Holy Land, and a knack for spinning yarns. But he didn't have atlases. He didn't have Google Maps. He didn't have a Sunday school teacher pointing out where the Red Sea really was.

So he wrote the geography like a man bluffing at cards. Jerusalem... Red Sea... close enough, right? Wrong. He exposed himself as a fraud. Because anyone who has walked the land, or even glanced at a decent map, knows the Red Sea isn't anywhere near Jerusalem. It's like saying "we left New York and walked down to the Gulf of Mexico that same afternoon." It doesn't work.

Section 3: The Implications of a Bad Map

You might think, "So what? It's just geography." No, friend, it's more than geography. If a book is truly the Word of God, it won't trip over simple facts like this. God knows His own creation. God knows where Jerusalem is. God knows where the Red Sea is. When His Spirit inspires Scripture, it's perfect—not sloppy, not guesswork, not geographically illiterate.

The Bible never botches geography. The Book of Mormon botches it in the first pages. That's a red flag the size of Texas. If it can't get maps right, why would you trust it on eternity?

Section 4: How Mormons Defend the Blunder

Now, the Mormon apologists scramble on this one. They say, "Oh, no, you see, when it says 'Red Sea' it's talking about a general region, not the actual sea. It's poetic." That's called damage control. If I say "I walked from Jerusalem to the Pacific Ocean," and you call me out, I can't say, "Well, I meant the region of the Pacific." That's nonsense. The text says what it says.

Others try to argue that "Red Sea" once referred to the Gulf of Aqaba, and that Nephi's wording is "close enough." But close enough doesn't cut it in Scripture. If the Bible said the Jordan River flowed into the Mediterranean, you'd call it a mistake. If the Bible said Egypt was in Asia, you'd call it a mistake. So why give Joseph Smith a pass? Because he's your prophet? That's blind loyalty, not faith.

Section 5: The Bible's Witness Against the Blunder

The KJV nails geography with precision:

- Ezekiel 47 describes the waters flowing from the temple into the Dead Sea. Perfect geography.
- Acts 8:27 mentions Ethiopia and the road from Jerusalem to Gaza. Perfect geography.
- Luke 10:30 mentions the road from Jerusalem to Jericho, a notoriously dangerous stretch. Perfect geography.

Again and again, the Bible proves itself. It doesn't say "Jerusalem by the Red Sea." Only Joseph Smith does. Which means only Joseph Smith is wrong.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – Welcome to Jerusalem Beach Resort

Let's paint the picture. In Joseph Smith's imagination, you could step out of Jerusalem, walk a mile, and dip your toes in the Red Sea surf. Maybe there was a beachfront promenade. Maybe Lehi had a surfboard. Maybe the temple overlooked a marina where Solomon parked his yachts.

Absurd? Of course. But that's what 1 Nephi 2:5 implies. And Mormon missionaries have to swallow it with a straight face, all while handing you a book they claim is "the most correct on earth." If "the most correct" can't find the Red Sea, maybe it's not so correct after all.

Section 7: Why This Matters for Truth Claims

Here's the bottom line: Christianity is a religion of truth. The Bible presents facts that can be tested—history, geography, prophecy—and it passes every test. Mormonism presents fiction and calls it scripture. A God who can't keep His maps straight isn't God at all.

Jesus said, "*Thy word is truth*" (John 17:17). Truth doesn't mean "close enough." Truth doesn't mean "creative interpretation." Truth means accurate, verifiable, perfect. If the Book of Mormon can't deliver truth in 1 Nephi, why trust it in Moroni?

Section 8: The Eternal Contrast

- **Book of Mormon:** Jerusalem by the Red Sea.
- **Bible:** Jerusalem in the mountains, Red Sea far to the south, geography intact.
- **Book of Mormon:** Bluffing, guessing, tripping over facts.

- **Bible:** Consistent, verifiable, accurate.

One book is man-made. The other is God-breathed. The choice is obvious.

Conclusion: The Map Don't Lie

At the end of the day, maps don't lie. Jerusalem isn't by the Red Sea, never has been, never will be. Joseph Smith didn't know the land, so he made it up. And his blunder exposes the whole fraud. The Bible's God knows geography, because He made geography. The Mormon god trips over a map.

So here's the verdict: the Book of Mormon puts Jerusalem on the beach. The KJV puts Jerusalem in the mountains, where it belongs. One book collapses under a map. The other stands forever as the Word of God.

Another testament against itself.

6 of 30 – Quoting the KJV 1,800 Years Too Early

Whole sections of Isaiah show up word-for-word from the 1611 KJV—even though Nephi supposedly lived in 600 BC.

Introduction: Time Travel with Nephi's Quill

Let's cut straight to the chase. The Book of Mormon claims Nephi and his descendants lived around 600 B.C. and kept records on golden plates. Fair enough—if you buy Joseph Smith's story. But here's the problem: when you read those plates “translated” by Smith, you bump into whole chapters of the prophet Isaiah, copied word-for-word out of the **1611 King James Bible**.

Now stop and think. Nephi is supposed to be writing around 600 B.C. The King James Bible wasn't published until A.D. 1611. That's a 2,200-year gap. Are we to believe Nephi had a leather-bound KJV tucked under his arm when he boarded the ship for the promised land? Or maybe he had a time machine and snuck into Jacobean England to borrow a copy from King James' translators?

It's absurd. It's laughable. It's not inspiration—it's plagiarism. And it's one of the clearest signs that Joseph Smith cobbled his “translation” out of the only Bible he knew—the King

James Version. The very book Mormons claim is corrupt is the one their prophet ripped off wholesale.

Section 1: The Smoking Gun Passages

If you want evidence, you don't have to dig far. Here are some smoking guns:

- **2 Nephi 12–24** reproduces **Isaiah 2–14** almost word-for-word.
- **2 Nephi 24** is essentially **Isaiah 14**, complete with Lucifer's fall.
- **Mosiah 14** repeats **Isaiah 53**, one of the clearest prophecies of Christ's suffering.
- **3 Nephi 12–14** duplicates **Matthew 5–7, the Sermon on the Mount**—again, straight out of the KJV.

Now the kicker: not only are these passages in English Jacobean style—"thee," "thou," "yea"—but even the italicized words added by the King James translators show up in the Book of Mormon.

That's not just borrowing. That's a Xerox copy. It's the kind of mistake you only make when you're copying a text sitting in front of you. Joseph Smith wasn't "translating Reformed Egyptian." He was peeking into his KJV and dumping it into his golden-plate fairy tale.

Section 2: The Italicized Word Problem

Here's where it gets hilarious. In the King James Bible, certain words are italicized. Those words aren't in the original Hebrew or Greek—they were added by the translators to smooth out the English. They're like crutches, clarifying what's implied in the text.

Guess what shows up in the Book of Mormon? Those same italicized words. For example, Isaiah 9:1 in the KJV says: "*Nevertheless the dimness shall not be such as was in her vexation, when at the first he lightly afflicted the land of Zebulun...*" That word "he" is italicized. And there it is again in 2 Nephi 19:1.

Now ask yourself: how did Nephi write down italicized English translator's notes in 600 B.C.? Did he have a time-traveling printing press? Or is the simpler explanation that Joseph Smith copied the KJV word-for-word, italics and all?

The jury doesn't need long on this one. It's case closed.

Section 3: Historical Context—Smith’s Bible

Joseph Smith grew up in a culture saturated with the King James Bible. It was the only Bible he knew. He quoted it, imitated it, and even tried to “revise” it in his so-called Joseph Smith Translation. So when it came time to crank out the Book of Mormon, he did what any conman does—he stole material.

And he stole big chunks, not just a few verses. He dumped entire chapters into his “translation.” Why? Because the biblical language gave his book credibility. People in 1830 were used to hearing “thee” and “thou.” So Smith peppered his book with the same flavor. Without those KJV chapters, the Book of Mormon would read like a dime-store novel. With them, it fooled a few people into thinking it sounded biblical.

Section 4: The Problem of Language

Let’s not miss this: Nephi is supposed to be writing in “Reformed Egyptian.” Isaiah wrote in Hebrew. The Sermon on the Mount was preached in Aramaic, written in Greek. Yet somehow, all of them magically came out in 17th-century English. What are the odds?

It’s like translating Homer’s Iliad and finding out it comes out in perfect Shakespearean English, complete with “thou’s” and “yea’s.” Only a fool would swallow that.

The Book of Mormon isn’t a translation. It’s an imitation. It mimics the KJV because Joseph Smith wanted his fraud to sound “scriptural.” But sounding scriptural isn’t the same as being Scripture.

Section 5: Doctrinal Inconsistencies

Here’s where it gets worse. Even though Joseph Smith copied Isaiah, he still managed to contradict himself. For instance, Isaiah 53 prophesies Christ’s suffering as the Lamb of God. The Book of Mormon parrots it, but Mormon theology later twists the atonement into something happening in Gethsemane rather than on the cross.

So you’ve got Smith copying KJV chapters wholesale, but then warping their meaning with his theology. That’s like plagiarizing a medical textbook and then claiming aspirin cures cancer. He steals the words but guts their meaning.

Section 6: Contrast with the Bible’s Integrity

Now compare with the KJV Bible itself. Isaiah's words in the Old Testament line up perfectly with Christ in the New Testament. Prophecy and fulfillment match across centuries. And when you compare manuscripts, you see a consistent transmission of the Hebrew text. That's the hand of God preserving His Word.

But when you read the Book of Mormon, you're not seeing prophecy fulfilled—you're seeing plagiarism dressed up as prophecy. Joseph Smith isn't a prophet—he's a parrot. And a sloppy parrot at that, because he copied the italics too.

Section 7: Apologetic Gymnastics

Mormon apologists know this is a sore spot. So they twist themselves into knots trying to explain it away. They say, "Well, God inspired the King James translators, so when Smith translated, he naturally came out the same." Nice try, but that doesn't explain the italics. God didn't inspire the italics—they were translator's helps.

Others say, "The Nephites had the brass plates, which included Isaiah, so of course Nephi quoted him." Okay, but then why in 17th-century English? Why not in Hebrew style? Why word-for-word with the 1611 KJV?

It's damage control, nothing more. When your prophet is caught plagiarizing, you scramble to cover it. But truth doesn't need gymnastics. Truth stands on its own.

Section 8: Sarcasm Interlude – Nephi the Jacobean Poet

Let's imagine Nephi, 600 B.C., sitting by his campfire. He pulls out his quill, scratches his head, and suddenly starts writing in Elizabethan English. "Thou shalt go forth, yea, verily, and it came to pass." Maybe he had a British accent too. Maybe he drank tea with crumpets while writing.

Absurd? Of course. But that's the only way to explain why the Book of Mormon reads like a Jacobean Bible. Nephi wasn't a 17th-century poet. Joseph Smith was a 19th-century fraud copying a 17th-century Bible.

Section 9: The Bible's Warning Against Additions

Revelation 22:18–19 warns: "*If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book.*" Joseph Smith added, and in doing so, he revealed himself as a false prophet.

The Bible doesn't need another testament. It doesn't need plagiarism to back it up. It stands alone as God's complete Word. The Book of Mormon adds, contradicts, and plagiarizes—and for that, it condemns itself.

Section 10: Why This Matters

This isn't nitpicking. If the Book of Mormon were true, it would have original content consistent with ancient languages. Instead, it parrots the KJV, exposing itself as a product of 19th-century America, not 600 B.C. Jerusalem.

If Joseph Smith had been smarter, he might have paraphrased Isaiah, or written in a different style. But he wasn't smart—he was a fraud. And his fraud shows every time Nephi starts sounding like Shakespeare's cousin.

Conclusion: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon claims to be “the most correct book on earth.” Yet it quotes a Bible that didn't exist for 2,200 years, right down to the italics. That's not inspiration—that's imitation. That's not revelation—that's theft.

Nephi didn't quote the King James Bible. Joseph Smith did. And in doing so, he exposed his book as a counterfeit. The KJV Bible stands unchallenged, God's preserved Word. The Book of Mormon stands plagiarized, God's rejected fraud.

So here's the verdict: if you want eternal truth, stick with the KJV. If you want Jacobean fan fiction with bad geography and stolen chapters, pick up the Book of Mormon. But don't kid yourself—it's not Scripture. It's another testament against itself.

7 of 30 – Salvation by Grace? Not in Their Gospel

Moroni 10:32 twists grace into works. Compare with Ephesians 2:8–9.

Introduction: The Gospel According to Moroni

At the tail end of the Book of Mormon, in Moroni 10:32, you find the most damning contradiction of all:

“Yea, come unto Christ, and be perfected in him, and deny yourselves of all ungodliness; and if ye shall deny yourselves of all ungodliness, and love God with all your might, mind and strength, then is his grace sufficient for you.”

Read that again slowly. Grace, according to Moroni, only shows up *after* you’ve denied yourself of *all* ungodliness and loved God with *all* your might, mind, and strength.

Translation: you earn grace by perfection.

Now, that’s not grace at all. That’s works. That’s law with a Jesus bumper sticker slapped on it. Grace doesn’t come after you’ve cleaned yourself up—grace comes because you can’t clean yourself up. Paul said it plain in Ephesians 2:8–9: *“For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast.”*

You can’t reconcile Moroni 10:32 with Ephesians 2:8–9. They’re two different gospels. One is the gospel of grace. The other is the gospel of grit. And Paul had a word for that: *“another gospel”* (Gal. 1:8).

Section 1: The Definition of Grace

Let’s set the record straight. Grace means unmerited favor. Not wages earned, not reward for effort, not a paycheck for performance. Pure favor. Romans 11:6 lays it down like a law of physics: *“And if by grace, then is it no more of works: otherwise grace is no more grace.”*

In other words, the moment you add works into grace, you destroy grace. It ceases to be grace. It’s like mixing oil and water—they don’t blend. You either stand in grace or you stand in works. You can’t straddle both.

The KJV Bible makes grace crystal clear: it’s the gift of God, unearned, undeserved, given to sinners who can’t lift a finger to save themselves. That’s the gospel.

Moroni’s gospel says: do all you can, deny every ounce of ungodliness, love with all your might, and *then* grace shows up like a bonus check. That’s not gospel. That’s garbage.

Section 2: The Impossible Standard

Notice the impossible bar Moroni sets: *deny yourselves of all ungodliness*. Not some. Not most. All.

Who's pulling that off? Not David, who fell with Bathsheba. Not Moses, who struck the rock. Not Peter, who denied Christ three times. Not Paul, who confessed in Romans 7:18: "*For I know that in me (that is, in my flesh,) dwelleth no good thing.*"

If grace requires you to deny all ungodliness first, then nobody in the Bible ever had grace. Everybody flunked. Which means Mormonism has a gospel that not even Bible saints could keep. That's not salvation. That's condemnation.

Section 3: Paul vs. Moroni

Let's pit the two head-to-head:

- **Moroni 10:32:** Grace is sufficient *if* you deny all ungodliness and love God with all you've got.
- **Ephesians 2:8–9:** Grace saves you as a gift, *not of works*, so you can't boast.

Those are not two ways of saying the same thing. Those are opposites. One says, "Do, then get grace." The other says, "Get grace, then do."

In Paul's gospel, grace produces works (Eph. 2:10). In Moroni's gospel, works produce grace. It's completely inverted. And if Paul were alive today, he'd say the same thing he told the Galatians: "*O foolish Galatians, who hath bewitched you...? Are ye so foolish? having begun in the Spirit, are ye now made perfect by the flesh?*" (Gal. 3:1–3).

The Book of Mormon is Galatian heresy in hardcover.

Section 4: The Boast of Mormonism

Paul said salvation is not of works *lest any man should boast*. Mormonism builds a system tailor-made for boasting. "Look how faithful I am." "Look how many commandments I kept." "Look at my temple recommend, my tithes, my genealogy work."

That's boasting disguised as religion. It's the same old Pharisee prayer: "*God, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are*" (Luke 18:11). Grace annihilates boasting because it gives all the credit to Christ. Works-salvation fuels boasting because it pats man on the back.

Moroni 10:32 is a Pharisee's gospel, not Paul's gospel.

Section 5: The Slavery of "After All You Can Do"

Mormonism doubles down in 2 Nephi 25:23: *“For we know that it is by grace that we are saved, after all we can do.”* There it is again—grace postponed until you’ve exhausted your own effort.

That’s not grace. That’s slavery. That’s dangling salvation like a carrot on a stick, always just out of reach, always after one more rule kept, one more sin denied, one more burden carried. It’s treadmill religion: run faster, sweat harder, but never arrive.

Christ didn’t die to put us on a hamster wheel. He died to set us free. *“Stand fast therefore in the liberty wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not entangled again with the yoke of bondage”* (Gal. 5:1).

Section 6: The Biblical Order

The Bible’s order is simple:

1. You’re a sinner, dead in trespasses.
2. God saves you by grace through faith in Christ’s finished work.
3. Out of gratitude and new life, you live unto God.

Grace → Salvation → Works.

Mormonism flips the order:

1. You deny all ungodliness and live unto God.
2. After all you can do, grace shows up.

Works → Grace → Salvation.

That’s not a small tweak. That’s a different religion.

Section 7: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven’s Gym Membership

Let’s put it in everyday terms. Moroni’s gospel is like a gym membership. You’ve got to work out every day, deny every calorie, run every mile, and if you’re in shape by the end, then you finally get access to the sauna.

Paul’s gospel is a free gift: you walk in out of breath, weak, dying, and the trainer hands you the membership for free. Then you start training, not to earn the gift, but because you already have it.

One is slavery. The other is salvation. And only a blind fool would confuse the two.

Section 8: Grace in the Old Testament

Even the Old Testament knew grace. Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD (Gen. 6:8). Israel didn't earn deliverance out of Egypt—they were saved by the blood of the lamb (Ex. 12). David confessed, *"Blessed is the man unto whom the LORD imputeth not iniquity"* (Ps. 32:2).

Grace has always been God's way. Works never saved anyone, not in the Old Testament, not in the New. Moroni's "after all you can do" gospel is foreign to the whole Bible.

Section 9: Grace Magnifies Christ

Here's the heart of it. Grace gives Christ all the glory. If I could deny myself of all ungodliness and love God with all my might, I wouldn't need a Savior. But because I can't, I need Him. Grace magnifies Christ's finished work on the cross.

Moroni's gospel shrinks Christ. It makes Him a helper, a co-pilot, the finisher of what you start. Grace is just a booster shot after you've done the heavy lifting. That's blasphemy. The cross isn't half a bridge—it's the whole way. Jesus said, *"It is finished"* (John 19:30). Moroni says, "It is finished—after you finish yourself."

Section 10: The Witness of Scripture

Scripture piles up against the Mormon gospel:

- **Romans 3:24** – *"Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus."*
- **Titus 3:5** – *"Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us."*
- **2 Timothy 1:9** – *"Who hath saved us... not according to our works, but according to his own purpose and grace."*
- **Romans 4:4–5** – *"Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness."*

Over and over, the Bible says grace saves you apart from works. One verse from Moroni can't overturn a library of Scripture.

Section 11: The Curse of Another Gospel

Paul didn't mince words in Galatians 1:8–9: *“But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed.”*

That's exactly what Joseph Smith claimed: an angel from heaven (Moroni) preaching another gospel (grace after works). Paul already gave the verdict: accursed.

You don't need another testament. You don't need Moroni's gospel. You need the old, rugged cross and the grace that flows from it.

Section 12: Why People Swallow It

So why do people buy into Moroni's works gospel? Because it appeals to pride. Man wants to feel he contributed. He wants to climb the ladder, prove his worth, and then stand before God with receipts. Grace strips him bare. Grace says, “You bring nothing. Christ did it all.” That offends human pride.

The Mormon gospel feeds pride. The Bible's gospel crucifies it. And that's why one builds temples to human achievement, while the other builds altars to Christ alone.

Conclusion: Grace Alone Stands

Moroni 10:32 is the cornerstone of a false gospel: grace as wages, grace as reward, grace as afterthought. The Bible annihilates it with one verse: *“By grace are ye saved through faith... not of works.”*

The Book of Mormon preaches salvation by works. The King James Bible preaches salvation by grace. One leads to boasting, bondage, and despair. The other leads to humility, liberty, and eternal life.

Choose wisely. Because only one gospel saves. And it isn't Moroni's. It's Paul's. It's Christ's. It's grace alone.

Another testament against itself.

8 of 30 – Baptism for Remission of Sins

Mosiah 18 preaches water saves you. Scripture says the blood of Christ cleanses (Hebrews 9:22).

Introduction: The Water Gospel vs. the Blood Gospel

According to Mosiah 18, baptism isn't just a testimony of faith—it's the mechanism for remission of sins. *"And the first fruits of repentance is baptism; and baptism cometh by faith unto the fulfilling the commandments; and the fulfilling the commandments bringeth remission of sins"*

Book of Mormon

.

That's the Mormon gospel: water + obedience = forgiveness. But Hebrews 9:22 says something very different: *"Without shedding of blood is no remission."* No amount of water can cleanse sin. No ritual can wash guilt. Only blood—specifically, the blood of the Lamb—can atone.

So, here we have a fork in the road: is salvation by a pool of water, or by the blood of Christ? The Book of Mormon points to water. The King James Bible points to the cross. Both cannot be right.

Section 1: The Problem with Baptismal Regeneration

The doctrine that baptism itself remits sins is called baptismal regeneration. It's not unique to Mormonism; Rome has preached it for centuries, and other sacramental systems embrace it. But the Bible never does.

Consider Acts 10:43: *"To him give all the prophets witness, that through his name whosoever believeth in him shall receive remission of sins."* No mention of water. The remission comes through belief in His name.

Or Romans 3:25: *"Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins."* Again, the cleansing is through blood and faith, not water and ritual.

When the Bible does mention baptism, it's as a sign, a picture, a testimony—not as the channel of forgiveness. The Book of Mormon confuses the symbol with the reality, the shadow with the substance.

Section 2: The Old Testament Witness

The Old Testament never hints that water could remove sin. Priests washed in water for ceremonial purity, but it was always the blood that covered sin. Leviticus 17:11 nails it: *“For the life of the flesh is in the blood: and I have given it to you upon the altar to make an atonement for your souls: for it is the blood that maketh an atonement for the soul.”*

Water washed dirt. Blood covered guilt. Israel's priests could scrub their hands all day in the laver, but only blood on the altar reconciled them to God. If baptism were the key, why slaughter lambs at all? Why rivers of blood on Israel's altars?

The Book of Mormon tries to rewrite the Old Testament system, replacing blood with water. But the Bible's witness is unbending: *“it is the blood.”*

Section 3: The Cross as Fulfillment

Fast forward to the New Testament. John the Baptist baptized with water, but he pointed beyond it: *“Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world”* (John 1:29). John didn't say, “Behold the water that takes away sin.” He said, “Behold the Lamb.”

On Calvary, it wasn't baptism that saved. It was blood. Christ's hands and feet nailed, His side pierced, His blood poured out—that was the atonement. Hebrews 10:14 says, *“For by one offering he hath perfected for ever them that are sanctified.”* One offering. One cross. One blood. No water required.

Section 4: Mormonism's Water Gospel

Mosiah 18 preaches: repentance → baptism → remission. The remission comes at the end of the chain, after water. That's a gospel of works wrapped in a sacrament.

The Bible preaches: faith in the blood → remission → baptism as testimony. The remission comes at the beginning, through blood. Baptism follows as obedience, not as cause.

The difference is eternal. One gospel saves by grace. The other enslaves by ritual.

Section 5: Hebrews 9:22 – The Great Divider

Let's camp on Hebrews 9:22: *"Without shedding of blood is no remission."* That verse drives a spear right through Mosiah 18. You can dunk sinners in every river in the world and not wash one sin away. You can baptize until the water runs dry, and they'll still come up lost.

It takes blood. Precious blood. The blood of Christ. The Book of Mormon can promise remission by commandments and baptism, but the Bible vetoes it in one verse. Without shedding of blood, there is no remission—period.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – The Heavenly Swimming Pool

Picture the Mormon heaven according to Mosiah 18. You don't get in by the blood shed on Calvary. You get in by keeping commandments and passing through enough water. Maybe there's a giant heavenly swimming pool, where every sin gets rinsed off like dirt in a bath.

But here's the reality: sin is not dirt. It's guilt. And guilt doesn't rinse off. It must be judged, punished, atoned for. That's why water fails, and blood prevails.

Section 7: Apostolic Clarity

When Peter preached in Acts 2:38, some seize on "be baptized... for the remission of sins." But the very same Peter later said in Acts 10:43: *"Whosoever believeth in him shall receive remission of sins."* Belief, not baptism. Faith, not ritual.

And in 1 Peter 3:21, he clarified baptism: *"The like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now save us... (not the putting away of the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God)."* Not washing dirt. Not removing sin. A figure, a picture, a testimony.

Compare that with Mosiah 18: baptism itself brings remission. Which Peter are you going to believe? The apostle of Christ or the fictional prophet of Smith?

Section 8: Paul's Gospel vs. Joseph's Gospel

Paul's gospel is Romans 5:9: *"Much more then, being now justified by his blood, we shall be saved from wrath through him."* Joseph Smith's gospel is Mosiah 18: remission by baptism.

Paul preached blood. Joseph preached water. Paul preached grace. Joseph preached works. Paul preached Christ crucified. Joseph preached commandments plus ordinances. They're not the same gospel. And Paul already warned: *"If any man preach any other gospel unto you than that ye have received, let him be accursed"* (Gal. 1:9).

Section 9: The Fruits of Each Gospel

What fruit comes from a water gospel? Bondage. Fear. Endless baptisms, endless rules, endless trying and never knowing if you're forgiven.

What fruit comes from a blood gospel? Assurance. Joy. Freedom. Confidence in Christ's finished work. *"The blood of Jesus Christ his Son cleanseth us from all sin"* (1 John 1:7). Not most sin. Not some sin. All sin.

Mormonism can never offer that. Because its gospel is built on Mosiah's water, not Christ's blood.

Section 10: Another Testament Against Itself

Mosiah 18 claims remission by water. Hebrews 9:22 says remission only by blood. They cannot both be Scripture. One is inspired. The other is counterfeit.

The Bible never puts remission in the baptistry. The Book of Mormon does. That alone proves which book is God's Word, and which book is man's fraud.

Conclusion: Trust the Blood, Not the Water

At the end of the day, your soul won't be saved by a pool. It won't be washed by ordinance. It won't be cleansed by ritual. It will be saved—or lost—by blood. Either the blood of Christ covers you, or you're still in your sins.

Hebrews says no remission without blood. The Book of Mormon says remission by baptism. One is true. One is false. And if you're betting eternity, you'd better put your faith where God put salvation: in the blood of the Lamb.

Another testament—against itself.

9 of 30 – Polygamy in the “Book of God”

Jacob 2 condemns polygamy, but D&C 132 commands it. Which Mormon god do you trust?

Introduction: A God Who Can’t Make Up His Mind

Let’s cut it straight: if you’re reading the Book of Mormon, Jacob 2:24 tells you plainly: *“Behold, David and Solomon truly had many wives and concubines, which thing was abominable before me, saith the Lord.”* Verse 27 says: *“There shall not any man among you have save it be one wife; and concubines he shall have none.”* That’s the LDS “Book of God” laying down the law: monogamy is the standard, polygamy is abomination.

But fast-forward to Doctrine & Covenants 132, and suddenly “God” is saying the exact opposite: multiple wives are not only permitted but commanded. In fact, it says if a woman doesn’t accept plural marriage, she’ll be “destroyed” (D&C 132:54). Now, which “God” are you going to believe? The one who said Solomon’s harem was an abomination, or the one who tells Joseph Smith to start a harem of his own?

That’s not divine revelation—that’s divine contradiction. And a god who can’t make up his mind isn’t God at all. That’s Joseph Smith’s god—manufactured on demand, tailored to suit his lusts.

Section 1: Jacob 2 – The Book of Mormon Against Polygamy

Jacob pulls no punches. He condemns the Nephites for “grosser crimes” (Jacob 2:22) and says they’re excusing themselves because of David and Solomon’s example. Then the “Lord” thunders through Jacob: it was abominable. Don’t do it. Stick with one wife. Period.

That’s clear. No wriggle room. The Book of Mormon itself sets the standard: monogamy. If you break it, you’re abominable before God.

Section 2: Doctrine & Covenants 132 – The Flip-Flop Revelation

But along comes D&C 132, Joseph Smith’s 1843 “revelation,” and suddenly the tables turn. Now “God” supposedly commands plural wives. Now Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, Moses, David, and Solomon are back on the table as role models. And now Joseph himself is commanded to gather wives like trophies.

This wasn't revelation. It was justification. Smith already had been sneaking around with multiple women. Emma, his first wife, found out. So he pulled the ultimate trump card: "God told me to." D&C 132 is nothing but Joseph's permission slip, dressed up as scripture.

Section 3: Which "God" Do You Trust?

Let's line them up:

- **Book of Mormon (Jacob 2):** Polygamy is abomination. One wife only.
- **Doctrine & Covenants 132:** Polygamy is commanded. Multiple wives required.

Those aren't shades of difference. Those are polar opposites. If both are scripture, you've got two gods fighting each other. Which one is the true God? The Bible says God is not the author of confusion (1 Cor. 14:33). But Mormonism's god is.

Section 4: The KJV Witness

Now contrast that with the KJV. Genesis 2:24 gives the pattern: *"Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh."* One man, one wife, one flesh.

The Old Testament records polygamy (Abraham, Jacob, David, Solomon), but never approves it. Every time it shows up, it brings jealousy, strife, and disaster. Hannah weeps because Peninnah provoked her (1 Sam. 1:6). Solomon's wives turn his heart to idols (1 Kings 11:4). Abraham's household splits over Hagar and Sarah (Gen. 21). Polygamy is in the record, but never in God's blueprint.

The New Testament seals it shut. Paul said a bishop must be the husband of *one wife* (1 Tim. 3:2). Deacons too (1 Tim. 3:12). Marriage is a picture of Christ and His church—one bride, one groom (Eph. 5:25–32).

The Bible is consistent. One man, one wife, one flesh. Always has been. Always will be.

Section 5: The Fruit of Polygamy

Christ said you'll know them by their fruits (Matt. 7:16). What's the fruit of Mormon polygamy? Broken homes, abuse, jealousy, manipulation, child brides, secret marriages, cover-ups. Smith's successors carried it forward—Brigham Young had more than 50 wives.

Even the LDS Church today tries to bury the skeletons, publishing “essays” admitting Smith had up to 40 wives, some as young as 14, some already married to other men. That’s not godliness—that’s perversion with a halo.

The fruit is rotten because the tree is corrupt.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – The “Most Correct” Love Manual

Joseph Smith once called the Book of Mormon “the most correct book on earth.” If that’s true, then Jacob 2 should be binding. One wife only. But Joseph couldn’t keep his hands to himself. So he pulled a new “revelation” out of his hat: plural marriage.

So which is it, Joe? Is the Book of Mormon the “most correct book,” condemning polygamy? Or is D&C 132 the most correct book, commanding it? Both can’t be true. Unless, of course, your god is as fickle as your flesh.

Section 7: The God Who Changes vs. the God Who Doesn’t

The Mormon god mutates like a chameleon. One day he hates polygamy. The next day he commands it. Later, in 1890, the LDS president Wilford Woodruff issues a “Manifesto” saying it’s time to stop. Suddenly the god who commanded it now disapproves again. That’s not eternal truth—that’s policy shifts dressed up as revelation.

But the KJV God doesn’t change. Malachi 3:6: *“For I am the LORD, I change not.”* Hebrews 13:8: *“Jesus Christ the same yesterday, and to day, and for ever.”* His standard for marriage never flip-flops. One man, one woman. Always.

Section 8: The Legacy of a False Revelation

D&C 132 left scars that still mark Mormonism. Splinter groups like the FLDS still practice polygamy, claiming Smith’s revelation is binding. The main LDS church tries to distance itself, but it can’t escape its roots. You can scrub your website, whitewash your manuals, issue essays of “clarification,” but history testifies.

Joseph Smith wasn’t a prophet. He was a predator. And his scripture proves it, because it contradicts itself.

Section 9: Another Testament Against Itself

Jacob 2 vs. D&C 132 is the ultimate self-destruction. One text condemns, the other commands. Which Mormon scripture do you follow? The answer is neither. Because the true God doesn't contradict Himself. The true Scripture doesn't flip-flop.

The Book of Mormon can't even agree with its own later "revelations." It's another testament against itself.

Conclusion: Choose Your God

So here's the question: which Mormon god do you trust?

- The god of Jacob 2, who says polygamy is abomination?
- The god of D&C 132, who says polygamy is required?
- The god of 1890, who suddenly outlawed it when the U.S. government threatened confiscation of property?

Or will you trust the God of the Bible, who never changes, who made one man and one woman, and who set marriage as a picture of Christ and His church?

The Mormon system can't even keep its marriages straight. The Bible keeps them crystal clear. That's why the KJV still stands tall while Joseph Smith's revelations collapse under their own contradictions.

Another testament—against itself.

10 of 30 – Steel Swords Before Steel

1 Nephi 4:9 mentions “steel” long before it was ever invented in the New World. Archaeological hoax exposed.

Introduction: The Sword That Shouldn't Exist

In 1 Nephi 4:9, Nephi claims he found Laban drunk, lying in the street, and drew *“his sword, and the hilt thereof was of pure gold, and the workmanship thereof was exceedingly fine, and I saw that the blade thereof was of the most precious steel.”*

Sounds impressive—gold hilt, fine workmanship, a blade of “precious steel.” The problem? Nobody in 600 B.C. Palestine—or anywhere in the ancient Americas—had “steel” as we understand it. True steelmaking wasn’t developed until long after Nephi’s supposed voyage, and certainly never appeared in the New World before Columbus.

What Joseph Smith did was simple: he copied the familiar terms from his 19th-century King James Bible vocabulary and dropped them into a fictional story about the ancient world. He didn’t realize archaeology would one day expose his forgery. Now we can look back and say: Nephi’s sword was as real as a lightsaber—pure fantasy.

Section 1: What the Text Actually Says

1 Nephi 4:9 isn’t vague. It doesn’t say “metal” or “iron.” It says “steel.” And not just once—multiple times in the Book of Mormon, “steel” weapons and tools are mentioned (2 Nephi 5:15; Ether 7:9).

Smith used “steel” because in 1830 upstate New York, everybody knew what steel was—knives, plow blades, swords. It sounded strong, noble, advanced. To sprinkle the word into his “ancient record” gave it credibility to his audience. But to a historian, it’s like watching a cowboy use an iPhone in a Western. Wrong era. Wrong place. Wrong technology.

Section 2: The Reality of Ancient Metallurgy

Here’s the historical reality:

- Ironworking began around 1200 B.C. in the Near East, but producing true steel (iron alloyed with controlled carbon content) required techniques not mastered until centuries later.
- Even then, steel was rare, experimental, and never mass-produced in biblical Palestine. Certainly not “precious steel” swords lying around Jerusalem households.
- As for the Americas—forget it. Native civilizations had no steel at all. They worked with stone, bone, wood, and some copper alloys. When the Spanish arrived in the 1500s, their steel swords and armor devastated the natives precisely because the New World never developed steel weaponry.

So when the Book of Mormon talks about “steel swords” among Nephites and Jaredites, it’s as believable as talking about Aztec tanks or Mayan muskets.

Section 3: The Sword of Laban – Fantasy Prop

Mormon apologists love to prop up the “Sword of Laban” as some legendary relic. They even claim it was preserved by Nephite prophets and shown to later generations. But the whole thing collapses under scrutiny.

If Laban had such a technologically advanced weapon in 600 B.C., it would’ve been a wonder of the ancient world. Yet archaeology has never found such swords from that period. No museums display them. No records mention them. It exists only in Joseph Smith’s imagination.

The sword is a fantasy prop, like Excalibur in Arthurian legend. And just like Excalibur, it serves the story, not history.

Section 4: The Archaeological Silence

Archaeology has been digging in Palestine for nearly two centuries. Excavations have unearthed pottery, inscriptions, tools, weapons—all kinds of artifacts from the Iron Age. But never a “steel” sword from 600 B.C.

Archaeology has also scoured the Americas, uncovering Mayan temples, Incan roads, Aztec codices. But no steel weapons. No forges. No slag pits. Nothing to suggest advanced metallurgy before Columbus.

The silence is deafening. If the Book of Mormon were true, the Americas should be littered with steel remnants. Instead, there’s nothing.

Section 5: The Bible’s Accuracy vs. The BOM’s Fiction

Contrast this with the Bible. When Scripture mentions bronze, iron, or chariots, archaeology confirms it. Bronze Age tools have been dug up in Canaan. Iron chariots have been found in Assyria. The Bible’s metallurgy matches the record.

But the Book of Mormon plants “steel swords” where none existed. That’s the difference between inspiration and imagination. God’s Word aligns with history. Smith’s word collides with it.

Section 6: Mormon Apologetics – The Word Games

Apologists scramble to explain this one away. They say, “Well, maybe ‘steel’ didn’t mean steel. Maybe it meant hardened copper, or some form of tempered metal.”

That’s word games. If “steel” doesn’t mean steel, then why use it? Why not say “iron” or “metal”? Smith used the word because it was familiar in 1830. The problem is, the word locked him into a historical claim he couldn’t escape.

Even the KJV translators used “steel” anachronistically a few times (e.g., Job 20:24, 2 Samuel 22:35), translating the Hebrew for “bronze” or “copper.” But here’s the difference: the Bible wasn’t written in 1830 pretending to describe the New World. Joseph Smith knew the English word “steel” from the KJV and sloppily dropped it into his “translation.”

Section 7: Sarcasm Interlude – Nephi’s Space-Age Armory

Picture Nephi’s tent. On one side, he’s got flint knives and stone axes like every other ancient traveler. On the other side, a gleaming “steel” sword of “precious workmanship,” shining like it came out of a 19th-century blacksmith’s shop in upstate New York. Maybe he had a revolver too? Maybe a musket tucked under his robe?

It’s laughable. Nephi’s “steel” is no more believable than a caveman with a chainsaw. And yet, missionaries keep a straight face handing out copies of this book.

Section 8: Why It Matters

You might ask: so what if Joseph Smith said “steel”? Isn’t that a small detail? No, it’s a litmus test. If the Book of Mormon can’t get the small details right—basic metallurgy, geography, chronology—why trust it on eternal salvation?

The Bible proves itself in every detail. The Book of Mormon disproves itself in every detail. And Nephi’s sword is Exhibit A.

Section 9: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon loves to advertise itself as “the most correct book on earth.” But the moment it mentions “steel swords” in 600 B.C. Palestine and pre-Columbian America, it exposes itself as a fraud. History says steel wasn’t there. Archaeology says steel wasn’t there. The Bible says remission is by blood, not by props.

Once again, it’s another testament against itself.

Conclusion: Trust the Word, Not the Sword

At the end of the day, you've got two choices: believe in a book that plants steel swords in the wrong century and wrong continent, or believe in the KJV Bible that never misses a historical beat.

One is man-made fiction. The other is God-breathed truth.

Nephi's "precious steel" was nothing but Joseph Smith's precious imagination. And imagination doesn't save souls. Only the sword of the Spirit, which is the Word of God, does (Eph. 6:17).

11 of 30 – Reformed Egyptian?

No scholar on earth has ever found Joseph Smith's "Reformed Egyptian." Compare with the Bible's Hebrew and Greek manuscripts.

Introduction: The Phantom Alphabet

The Book of Mormon boldly claims that its records were written in "the characters which are called among us the reformed Egyptian"

Book of Mormon

. Sounds exotic, mysterious, and spiritual. The only problem? There is no such thing as "Reformed Egyptian." No archaeologist has found it, no linguist has ever identified it, and no inscription has ever turned up in the sands of the Middle East or the ruins of America. It's a ghost language—Joseph Smith's invention to cover his tracks.

Contrast that with the Bible. The Old Testament manuscripts are preserved in Hebrew and Aramaic, languages studied by scholars for centuries. The New Testament manuscripts are preserved in Greek, with thousands of copies verifying the text. Real languages. Real manuscripts. Real history. Meanwhile, Joseph Smith tells us he had golden plates written in a language that has never existed outside his head.

That's not inspiration—that's fabrication.

Section 1: What the Book of Mormon Claims

Moroni explains in the book's closing chapters that the records were written in "reformed Egyptian," a script supposedly altered from Hebrew but changed over time so that no other people could read it

Book of Mormon

. Convenient, isn't it? The Nephites claim to use a language that nobody else in the world knows, and the only translator is Joseph Smith, staring at a stone in his hat.

The Bible, by contrast, is open to the world. Any scholar can study Hebrew. Any student can learn Greek. The manuscripts are public, traceable, verifiable. You can walk into museums and see them. But "Reformed Egyptian" is hidden, unverifiable, untraceable. In short: imaginary.

Section 2: The Bible's Languages vs. Smith's Fantasy

- **Hebrew:** Documented back to at least the 10th century B.C., with inscriptions, scrolls, and manuscripts to prove it.
- **Greek:** Documented back to Homer, centuries before Christ, with thousands of manuscripts confirming the New Testament text.
- **Aramaic:** Used in parts of Daniel and Ezra, with historical and linguistic evidence everywhere.

Now compare:

- **Reformed Egyptian:** Zero manuscripts. Zero inscriptions. Zero artifacts. Zero credibility.

That's the difference between God's Word and man's word.

Section 3: The Problem of the Plates

Joseph Smith claimed he had plates covered in Reformed Egyptian characters. He even produced a "Transcript" of squiggly marks (the Anthon Transcript) and sent it to Charles Anthon, a professor in New York. Anthon reportedly said it was a hoax—gibberish, not a language. Smith's response? Anthon was "fulfilling prophecy" by rejecting it. That's called backpedaling.

God doesn't give His Word in gibberish. He gives it in known tongues, preserved through time. On Pentecost, men heard the apostles preach in their own languages, not some mystical code (Acts 2:6). The Book of Mormon demands blind faith in a language no one has ever spoken. The Bible offers tested faith in languages everyone can study.

Section 4: The Scholarly Verdict

Ask any linguist: Reformed Egyptian is not a recognized language family. Egyptian hieroglyphs are known. Demotic script is known. Hieratic is known. But "Reformed Egyptian"? Never existed. It's like saying you've got a book written in "Reformed Martian."

Meanwhile, the Bible boasts more than 5,800 Greek New Testament manuscripts and over 10,000 Hebrew Old Testament manuscripts and fragments. Scholars can cross-compare them and verify accuracy. That's evidence. Joseph Smith had a top hat and some magic rocks.

Section 5: Sarcasm Interlude – Lost in Translation

Imagine this: You're an ancient prophet. You've got Hebrew, the sacred tongue of Scripture, God's covenant language. But instead of writing in Hebrew, you decide to invent "Reformed Egyptian," a language nobody else knows, so your descendants can struggle with it and no one else on earth can read it. That's like me writing my will in Pig Latin so my children need a magician to interpret it.

Absurd? Exactly. And yet Mormonism expects us to nod politely and say, "Yes, that makes sense."

Section 6: The Contrast with God's Method

God gave the Old Testament in Hebrew so His people could read it. He gave the New Testament in Greek, the common language of the world, so Gentiles could hear it. God wants His Word accessible. Joseph Smith's god hides his word in an imaginary code, requiring a farm boy with a hat stone to "translate."

Which sounds more like God? Which sounds more like a con job?

Section 7: The Cover-Up of Imperfections

Moroni admits in the text that if they had written in Hebrew, “ye would have had no imperfection in our record”

Book of Mormon

. Did you catch that? The book itself confesses that Reformed Egyptian introduced imperfections! God’s Word doesn’t apologize for its language. The Bible doesn’t say, “Sorry, Hebrew’s a little sloppy, we should’ve picked another.” That’s a man’s excuse, not God’s declaration.

Section 8: Why This Matters

Some people shrug: “It’s just language.” But it’s more than that. The very foundation of the Book of Mormon depends on this phantom script. If “Reformed Egyptian” never existed, then the golden plates never existed. If the plates never existed, then Joseph Smith had nothing to translate. If Joseph Smith had nothing to translate, then the entire Mormon system collapses.

That’s why this matters. Reformed Egyptian isn’t just a detail—it’s the Achilles’ heel of the whole religion.

Section 9: Another Testament Against Itself

Every time the Book of Mormon mentions “Reformed Egyptian,” it digs its own grave. The Bible doesn’t need imaginary languages to prop it up. The Bible stands on verifiable history. Mormonism hides behind ghost alphabets and asks you to trust Joseph Smith’s “seer stone.”

That’s why the Book of Mormon is not “another testament of Jesus Christ.” It’s another testament against itself.

Conclusion: The Real vs. The Fake

- The Bible: Hebrew and Greek manuscripts, preserved, verified, trustworthy.
- The Book of Mormon: Reformed Egyptian, nonexistent, unverifiable, imaginary.

One is inspired revelation. The other is inspired fraud.

As Paul said in 1 Corinthians 14:9, “*Except ye utter by the tongue words easy to be understood, how shall it be known what is spoken?*” God doesn’t speak in imaginary tongues. He speaks truth in words we can know, read, and trust.

Reformed Egyptian is a lie. The King James Bible is the truth. And that’s why we preach the Book, not the hoax.

12 of 30 – A Jesus in the Americas

3 Nephi 11 claims Jesus came to the Americas. KJV says His return will be on the Mount of Olives (Acts 1:11–12; Zech. 14:4).

Introduction: Which Jesus Do You Believe?

The Book of Mormon paints a scene in 3 Nephi 11 where, after His resurrection, Jesus supposedly appeared to the Nephites in the Americas. He descends out of heaven, speaks to the multitude, lets them feel His wounds, and preaches essentially a remix of the Sermon on the Mount. Sounds touching—until you hold it up against the King James Bible.

The KJV tells us where Jesus ascended, where He will return, and what the scope of His ministry was. He ministered to Jews and Gentiles in the Old World, not Native tribes in the New. When He comes again, Acts 1:11–12 says He will descend the same way He ascended—from the Mount of Olives. Zechariah 14:4 says His feet will stand on that mountain when He returns, not in Guatemala, not in Mexico, not in Salt Lake City.

So the question is simple: which Jesus do you believe? The biblical Christ rooted in prophecy, or Joseph Smith’s Americanized Jesus who shows up to the wrong continent at the wrong time?

Section 1: What 3 Nephi 11 Claims

Let’s lay out the text. According to 3 Nephi 11, a great crowd of Nephites gathered near the temple in the land Bountiful. Suddenly they hear a voice from heaven. The third time they hear it, they understand: “*Behold my Beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased.*” Then Christ descends, dressed in white, shows His wounds, and calls twelve disciples.

The Nephites cry “Hosanna,” fall at His feet, and He spends chapters teaching them doctrine almost word-for-word from the KJV Sermon on the Mount (Matthew 5–7). Then He institutes baptism, preaches unity, and gives them His gospel.

It sounds dramatic, but the problem isn’t the drama. It’s the geography, the timing, and the theology.

Section 2: Acts 1 – The Ascension and Promise

The KJV makes it clear. After His resurrection, Christ appeared to His disciples for forty days, teaching them about the kingdom of God (Acts 1:3). Then He ascended into heaven from the Mount of Olives (Acts 1:12). Two angels told the disciples: *“This same Jesus, which is taken up from you into heaven, shall so come in like manner as ye have seen him go into heaven.”*

Where did He go? Heaven.

Where will He come back? The Mount of Olives.

When will He return? At His Second Coming.

There is no room in Acts 1 for a secret side trip to the Americas. There is no prophecy for it, no record of it, no mention of it in Scripture. Christ ascended to heaven and will return in glory to Jerusalem—not to Bountiful, not to Missouri, not to Palmyra.

Section 3: Zechariah 14 – The Prophetic Anchor

Zechariah nailed it centuries before: *“And his feet shall stand in that day upon the mount of Olives, which is before Jerusalem on the east”* (Zech. 14:4). That’s the anchor point for His return. Not Salt Lake City. Not the Amazon. Not the Andes.

Every biblical prophecy lines up with Jerusalem as the stage of Christ’s return. Jerusalem is the center of prophecy, the city of the great King (Matt. 5:35). Mormonism hijacks the stage and drops Him in the wrong hemisphere. That’s not prophecy fulfilled—that’s prophecy contradicted.

Section 4: The Bible’s Scope vs. The BOM’s Scope

The Bible lays out Christ’s mission: *“I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel”* (Matt. 15:24). Paul later reveals the “mystery” of the gospel to Gentiles (Eph. 3:6).

But never once does Scripture hint that Christ took a post-resurrection detour to another continent.

If such a monumental event had happened—Jesus Christ appearing to an entire civilization—wouldn't Paul have mentioned it? Wouldn't Peter have preached it? Wouldn't John have recorded it? Instead, silence. Because it never happened.

Section 5: Plagiarism of the Sermon on the Mount

One of the glaring problems in 3 Nephi is the wholesale plagiarism of the KJV Sermon on the Mount. Christ supposedly preaches to the Nephites using the exact words from Matthew, down to the phrasing of the 1611 English translation!

Are we to believe Christ spoke in King James English in the Americas, centuries before that translation existed? Did He quote a text that wouldn't be written for 1,600 years? That's not inspiration—that's Joseph Smith copying and pasting, trying to dress up his fiction with Scripture.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – Jesus the Globe-Trotter

According to Mormonism, Jesus wasn't just the Messiah of Israel—He was a globe-trotter. Forty days in Jerusalem, then hop across the ocean to preach in America, maybe swing by Polynesia on the way back. A Savior on world tour.

But Acts 1 says He ascended into heaven, not boarded a ship. Zechariah 14 says His feet will stand on the Mount of Olives, not the Yucatán Peninsula. The biblical Jesus isn't a globe-trotter. He's the Lamb slain, risen, ascended, and coming again in glory to the same place He left.

Section 7: The Witness Problem

The Bible grounds its truth in eyewitnesses. The apostles saw Christ risen (1 Cor. 15:5–7). Over 500 brethren at once saw Him. Their testimony was public, verifiable, and many sealed it with their blood.

But the Book of Mormon gives us a private, unverifiable event on another continent with no evidence, no archaeology, no outside witnesses. We're expected to take Joseph Smith's word for it. That's the difference between biblical revelation and a tall tale.

Section 8: Archaeology Again Silent

If Christ appeared in the Americas, where's the evidence? Where are the churches, inscriptions, artifacts, or writings pointing to such a monumental event? Jerusalem is full of evidence of early Christianity. The Americas? Silent. No cross carvings from the 1st century. No Nephite gospels. Nothing.

Archaeology testifies to Christ in Israel. Archaeology testifies against Christ in America.

Section 9: The Prophetic Timeline

The Bible's prophetic timeline is airtight:

1. Christ dies, rises, and ascends.
2. The Spirit descends at Pentecost.
3. The gospel spreads to Gentiles.
4. Christ will return in glory to Jerusalem.

The Book of Mormon inserts a detour: Christ pops over to America, preaches the Sermon on the Mount 2.0, then disappears. That detour has no place in prophecy. It's an add-on, an anachronism, a contradiction.

Section 10: Another Testament Against Itself

Once again, the Book of Mormon collapses under its own claims. If Jesus went to America, then Acts 1 and Zechariah 14 are wrong. If Acts 1 and Zechariah 14 are right, then 3 Nephi is false. They can't both be true.

So which will you trust: the living Word of God in the King James Bible, or the tall tales of a 19th-century treasure digger with a magic hat?

Conclusion: The True Christ vs. the False Christ

Paul warned in 2 Corinthians 11:4 about those who preach "another Jesus." The Book of Mormon gives us another Jesus: a globe-trotter Christ who leaves prophecy behind to preach to phantom civilizations. The Bible gives us the true Christ: born in Bethlehem,

crucified at Calvary, risen from the tomb, ascended from the Mount of Olives, and returning to that same mountain in glory.

One Christ is grounded in prophecy and history. The other is grounded in fiction and fraud.

Another testament—against itself.

13 of 30 – Secret Combinations or Secret Societies?

Alma and Ether rail about “secret combinations”—a 19th-century Masonic paranoia, not ancient scripture.

Introduction: A Buzzword Out of Place

If you flip through the Book of Mormon, you’ll notice a phrase that keeps popping up: “secret combinations.” Alma rails against them. Ether condemns them. Helaman thunders against them. Over and over, Nephite prophets warn that secret combinations will destroy nations.

It sounds familiar, doesn’t it? That’s because “secret combinations” was the exact phrase swirling in Joseph Smith’s day about secret societies—especially the Freemasons. Newspapers, preachers, and politicians in 1820s America were obsessed with Masonic conspiracies, particularly after the disappearance of William Morgan in 1826, who had threatened to expose Masonic secrets. The anti-Masonic movement became the first third-party political wave in American history.

And lo and behold, right in the middle of this cultural hysteria, Joseph Smith’s “ancient record” just happens to include endless warnings against “secret combinations.” Coincidence? Not a chance.

The Bible never once uses the phrase “secret combinations.” It warns about sin, idolatry, hidden works of darkness—but never “secret combinations.” That’s Joseph Smith’s vocabulary, lifted straight out of his own century and dropped into a fake ancient record.

Section 1: What Alma and Ether Actually Say

Take Alma 37:29–31, where Alma warns his son Helaman to hide away the “secret oaths and covenants” of the people lest the Nephites fall into them and be destroyed

Book of Mormon

. He even says cursed is the land if such combinations get a foothold.

Or Ether 8:18–22, where Moroni thunders that “secret combinations” are had among all nations, upheld by the devil, and will overthrow all people if tolerated

Book of Mormon

. He even preaches to “Gentiles” (how convenient, since that’s Smith’s 19th-century audience!) to beware of them.

These passages are laced with the rhetoric of Smith’s own day. Oaths, signs, covenants, secret murders, plots for power—all language straight out of Masonic lodge critiques. The Book of Mormon doesn’t read like ancient scripture—it reads like a frontier sermon against the local lodge.

Section 2: Historical Context – The Morgan Affair

Why does this matter? Because in 1826, William Morgan disappeared after threatening to publish a book revealing Masonic rituals. Many believed Masons kidnapped and killed him. The story set America ablaze. Anti-Masonic newspapers condemned the “secret combinations” of the lodge. Preachers thundered against them. The Anti-Masonic Party rose and even ran a presidential candidate.

And right in the thick of it, Joseph Smith “translates” a book that uses the exact same language. That’s not prophecy—that’s plagiarism from the headlines.

Section 3: The Bible’s Silence

Now look at the KJV Bible. Where’s “secret combinations”? You won’t find it. The Bible exposes sin openly:

- *“Have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them”* (Eph. 5:11).
- *“The secret of the LORD is with them that fear him”* (Ps. 25:14).
- *“It is a shame even to speak of those things which are done of them in secret”* (Eph. 5:12).

The Bible talks about secret sins, hidden works, mystery of iniquity—but not “secret combinations.” That phrase belongs to 19th-century America, not 600 B.C. Jerusalem or 200 A.D. America.

Section 4: Signs, Oaths, and Covenants

Alma and Ether even mention “oaths,” “covenants,” and “signs” used by these groups

Book of Mormon

Book of Mormon

. Sound familiar? That’s exactly the criticism leveled against Freemasons—their handshakes, rituals, oaths of secrecy, and penalties.

So either Nephites just happened to invent the same lodge culture as 19th-century Masons, or Joseph Smith was projecting the controversies of his own environment onto his fake scripture. You don’t need a seer stone to know which explanation is more plausible.

Section 5: Sarcasm Interlude – The Ancient Lodge Meeting

Picture this: a Nephite Gadianton sitting in 50 B.C., swearing oaths by Cain, learning secret signs, plotting political power in the wilderness of America. It’s practically a frontier Masonic hall, complete with handshakes, aprons, and gavel. Only one problem: none of that existed in the ancient Americas. It existed in Joseph Smith’s backyard in upstate New York.

Section 6: The Real Source – American Paranoia

Anti-Masonic paranoia in the 1820s and 1830s was everywhere. Sermons warned that secret societies were undermining America. Papers railed against “dark oaths.” Political rallies thundered against “combinations” of secrecy.

Joseph Smith soaked it all up. Then, when dictating the Book of Mormon, he regurgitated it in “ancient” form. Alma and Ether sound less like prophets of God and more like editors of the Anti-Masonic Review.

Section 7: The Devil Gets Too Much Credit

Notice too how the Book of Mormon pins all “secret combinations” on Satan himself

Book of Mormon

. Every plot, every society, every oath is traced back to the devil, to Cain, to the Tower of Babel. Convenient! Now Joseph can tie every lodge in upstate New York to the devil’s blueprint, through “ancient scripture.”

The Bible, meanwhile, never obsesses over secret societies. It warns against sin, yes, but it doesn’t blame political groups or lodges. The obsession with conspiracies is Smith’s, not Scripture’s.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

So what’s the verdict? Alma and Ether thunder about “secret combinations,” but archaeology has never found evidence of ancient American lodges, oaths, or Masonic-style societies. The Bible never uses the term. The phrase fits Joseph Smith’s 19th-century culture perfectly, but it doesn’t fit antiquity at all.

Once again, the Book of Mormon betrays itself. It’s not an ancient record—it’s a 19th-century forgery dressed up in KJV lingo and American paranoia.

Conclusion: Scripture vs. Conspiracy

The Bible gives us prophecy grounded in history. The Book of Mormon gives us conspiracy theories grounded in frontier gossip. One is timeless truth. The other is time-stamped fraud.

Alma’s “secret combinations” are nothing more than Joseph Smith’s local lodge troubles dressed up as revelation. And that makes the Book of Mormon what it always was: another testament against itself.

14 of 30 – The Jaredites and the Babel Myth

Ether’s story of the Jaredites contradicts the biblical Tower of Babel timeline in Genesis 11.

Introduction: A Tale Too Tall for the Tower

The Book of Mormon's *Ether* book begins with a story about the Jaredites—descendants of a man named Jared who supposedly lived at the time of the Tower of Babel. After God confused the languages in Genesis 11, Jared and his brother supposedly begged the Lord not to confound their speech. God supposedly agreed, led them through the wilderness, and told them to build barges to cross the ocean to the Americas.

Sounds like an adventurous tale—until you measure it against the Bible. Genesis 11 tells us exactly what happened at Babel: one language, one people, rebellion against God, language confounded, people scattered. End of story. No secret family with a magic exemption clause. No special set of “barges” to cross oceans. And no civilization of Jaredites landing in the Americas to build towers of their own.

Once again, the Book of Mormon invents a parallel history that doesn't line up with Scripture. It's another testament—against itself.

Section 1: The Genesis Record

Let's read the text. Genesis 11:1–4: *“And the whole earth was of one language, and of one speech. And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar; and they dwelt there. And they said one to another, Go to, let us make brick, and burn them thoroughly... And they said, Go to, let us build us a city and a tower, whose top may reach unto heaven.”*

Genesis 11:7–8: *“Go to, let us go down, and there confound their language, that they may not understand one another's speech. So the LORD scattered them abroad from thence upon the face of all the earth: and they left off to build the city.”*

That's the account. Simple, direct, complete. God judged them. They scattered. The tower project stopped. Scripture moves on to Abraham's genealogy. No survivors with an exemption. No ocean voyages.

Section 2: Ether's Expansion Pack

Now flip open *Ether* 1–3. Here's what you find: Jared and his brother ask the Lord not to confound their language

Book of Mormon

. God grants the request. Then they ask that their friends' speech not be confounded. Again, God agrees. They're told to gather flocks, bees, and seeds, travel through the wilderness, build barges, and sail to the New World.

The narrative borrows Genesis 11's stage but invents a whole new subplot. The Jaredites supposedly form a mighty nation in the Americas, one that eventually collapses in bloodshed. It's the *Book of Mormon's* version of a lost civilization—part Babel, part Atlantis, part Masonic fable.

Section 3: The Timeline Problem

The Jaredites supposedly leave Babel around 2200 B.C. and establish a nation that lasts until the arrival of the Nephites (around 600 B.C.). But here's the problem: Genesis gives no such timeline. The scattering of languages at Babel happens only a few generations before Abraham, and by Abraham's day (around 2000 B.C.) the nations are already spread.

For Smith's Jaredites to exist, you'd have to insert an entire civilization into a window of history that the Bible never leaves open. The genealogies of Genesis 10–11 give us a continuous record from Noah to Abraham. There's no "Jared" or "brother of Jared" in the list. To wedge them in, Smith had to invent names, timelines, and migrations completely absent from Scripture.

Section 4: The Barge Absurdity

One of the most laughable details is the Jaredites' "barges." These vessels are described as "tight like unto a dish" with holes in the top and bottom for ventilation. They're supposedly light upon the water like a fowl, and the Jaredites travel across the ocean with glowing stones to light the way.

Now think about it. These weren't ships with sails or oars. They were sealed barges, bobbing like corks in the ocean. No steering. No control. No technology to survive an oceanic voyage. Yet we're told they carried animals, bees, fish, seeds, and families across thousands of miles.

It's absurd. The ark of Noah had God's design and purpose, and it was a one-time event. The Jaredites' barges are nothing but recycled ark imagery, scaled down, and turned into an impossible migration story.

Section 5: Archaeological Silence

If the Jaredites were real, the Americas should bear the marks of their civilization. They supposedly built cities, fought wars, raised animals, and left behind records. But archaeology finds no trace.

Mayan, Aztec, and Incan civilizations left temples, codices, and artifacts. But the Jaredites? Nothing. No inscriptions. No pottery. No ruins. Just silence. Their existence is as mythical as Atlantis, and the only record is Joseph Smith's "translation" from plates no one ever saw.

Section 6: Sarcasm Interlude – Bees in a Barge

Picture it: Jared loads up his barge. Sheep bleating, cattle lowing, children crying, bees buzzing in pots. The barge rocks on the waves, water sloshes, air gets thin, and Jared shouts, "Open the bottom hole!" His brother yells, "No, open the top hole!" Meanwhile, glowing stones light the way like celestial night-lights.

It's not scripture. It's slapstick. It's less like Genesis and more like a bad adventure novel.

Section 7: The Theological Problem

Genesis 11 makes Babel the line in the sand: rebellion judged, languages confounded, mankind scattered. The Jaredites undermine the whole point by creating an exception class. If one family could skip the judgment, then God's act at Babel wasn't universal. The whole narrative of human division, nations, and the call of Abraham would be disrupted.

But Scripture stands. God confounded *all* the languages. He scattered *all* the people. That's why Abraham's call out of Ur matters—because God started a new covenant line after the scattering.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

So let's put it blunt:

- Genesis 11: All languages confounded, all people scattered.
- Ether 1–3: Jared and his brother escape, form a civilization in America.

Those two accounts contradict. Either Genesis is true and complete, or Ether is a fraudulent expansion. You can't have both.

The Bible is consistent. The Book of Mormon is not. Once again, another testament—against itself.

Conclusion: Trust the Word, Not the Myth

The Jaredites are a myth, not a mystery. A 19th-century tall tale, not a biblical record. Genesis 11 gives us Babel, scattering, and the roots of nations. The Book of Mormon gives us barges, bees, glowing stones, and a phantom civilization with no trace in history.

The Word of God needs no expansion pack. The Book of Mormon's Babel myth only proves what it is: fiction.

Another testament—against itself.

15 of 30 – A Different Gospel Condemned

Galatians 1:8 condemns “another gospel.” The Book of Mormon brands itself “Another Testament.” Case closed.

Introduction: The Gospel Line in the Sand

If there's one verse that slams the door on Mormonism harder than all others, it's Galatians 1:8: *“But though we, or an angel from heaven, preach any other gospel unto you than that which we have preached unto you, let him be accursed.”* Paul drew a line in the sand: one gospel, one Christ, one message. Anything added, altered, or appended is accursed.

The Book of Mormon struts onto the scene wearing a banner: “Another Testament of Jesus Christ.” It doesn't even hide what it is—it brags about being “another.” Paul said if there's another, it's cursed. That's not interpretation. That's case closed. The Book of Mormon condemns itself with its own title.

Section 1: The Context of Galatians

Paul's letter to the Galatians is a war-cry against works-salvation. False teachers had infiltrated, teaching believers that they needed circumcision and law-keeping alongside faith in Christ. Paul blasted them with both barrels:

- Galatians 1:6 – *“I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another gospel.”*
- Galatians 1:7 – *“Which is not another; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ.”*

Then he brings the hammer: even if he himself, or an angel, preached a different gospel, let him be accursed. That’s as strong as it gets. Paul put a curse on anything that dared alter the pure message of grace.

Now Joseph Smith comes along eighteen centuries later with an angel named Moroni, and a book titled “Another Testament.” That’s not fulfillment—it’s exactly what Paul warned against.

Section 2: The Gospel Paul Preached

What gospel did Paul preach? Simple and clear:

- 1 Corinthians 15:3–4 – *“Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures; And that he was buried, and that he rose again the third day according to the scriptures.”*
- Romans 3:24 – *“Being justified freely by his grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus.”*
- Ephesians 2:8–9 – *“For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast.”*

That’s the gospel. The finished work of Christ, received by faith, without works, without additions, without amendments. That’s the gospel Paul put his life on the line for, and that’s the gospel the Holy Ghost seals in the heart of every believer.

The Book of Mormon twists it into grace-after-works, baptism for remission, commandments for salvation, and polygamy for exaltation. That’s not Paul’s gospel. That’s another gospel. And Paul already said: accursed.

Section 3: The Book of Mormon’s Own Branding

Let’s not miss this: the Book of Mormon doesn’t just hint that it’s another gospel. It puts it in bold on the cover. “Another Testament.” It’s practically waving a red flag saying, “Here I am, the very thing Galatians 1 warned you about.”

If you wrote a counterfeit check, you wouldn't stamp "COUNTERFEIT" across the front. But that's exactly what Smith did. He labeled his book "another." And Paul already labeled "another" as "accursed."

Section 4: The Angel Problem

Notice Paul's wording: "*Though we, or an angel from heaven...*" Joseph Smith claimed his book came by an angel named Moroni. Mormon missionaries boast about it: "An angel delivered another testament." Congratulations—you just fulfilled the curse clause.

The Bible already knew your story before you showed up. God anticipated false gospels wrapped in angelic packaging. Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light (2 Cor. 11:14). The Book of Mormon is Satan's textbook example: an angel preaching another gospel.

Section 5: Why "Another" Matters

Some Mormons say, "It's not another gospel, it's just another witness of the same gospel." But Paul didn't say, "If they preach a contradictory gospel." He said, "If they preach *any other* gospel." You can't tack on another testament without turning it into another gospel.

Think of it this way: If I hand you a glass of pure water and then add a drop of poison, it's not "the same water plus." It's a different drink altogether. The Book of Mormon adds polygamy, priesthoods, temple rites, baptism for the dead, and celestial kingdoms. That's not the same gospel. That's a poisoned well.

Section 6: The Consistency of the Bible

The Bible is one gospel from cover to cover: salvation by grace through faith in the shed blood of Christ. Old Testament saints looked forward through sacrifice, New Testament saints look back to the cross. But the gospel itself doesn't shift.

- Abraham believed God, and it was counted to him for righteousness (Gen. 15:6).
- David spoke of the blessedness of the man to whom God imputes righteousness without works (Rom. 4:6).
- Paul preached Christ crucified, buried, and risen as the only hope (1 Cor. 15:3–4).

The Bible is consistent. The Book of Mormon is not.

Section 7: Sarcasm Interlude – The Gospel Upgrade

According to Mormonism, the gospel got an “upgrade package” in 1830. The old KJV gospel wasn’t enough. Now you need Moroni’s add-on expansion pack. It’s like buying software and getting told, “Sorry, you’ve got the old version—you need the 1830 upgrade to be saved.”

Nonsense. The cross doesn’t need a patch. The blood doesn’t need an update. The empty tomb doesn’t need an add-on. *“It is finished”* means finished (John 19:30). Not finished until Joseph Smith shows up with golden plates.

Section 8: The Test of Prophets

Deuteronomy 13:1–3 gives the test: if a prophet arises and tells you to follow other gods, don’t listen. Galatians 1 gives the New Testament test: if someone preaches another gospel, let him be accursed. Joseph Smith fails both tests.

He preaches another god (a God who was once a man). He preaches another Christ (a polygamist Jesus in America). He preaches another gospel (grace after works). He preaches another scripture (another testament). By biblical standards, he’s a false prophet, plain and simple.

Section 9: The Fruits of Another Gospel

What’s the fruit of Mormonism’s gospel? Bondage, confusion, endless striving, no assurance of salvation. You work for worthiness, tithe for temple recommends, do genealogy for the dead, practice ordinances to climb kingdoms. You never rest because your gospel is never finished.

What’s the fruit of Paul’s gospel? Liberty, joy, peace, assurance, confidence in Christ’s finished work. *“Therefore being justified by faith, we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ”* (Rom. 5:1).

One gospel is a treadmill. The other is a finished cross.

Section 10: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon calls itself “another testament.” Galatians 1 says another gospel is accursed. That’s not a coincidence—that’s divine forewarning. God knew the devil would try to tack on another book, another testament, another Jesus. And He gave the answer ahead of time: accursed.

The Book of Mormon doesn’t need outside critics to condemn it. Its own title does the job. It brands itself another gospel, and Paul’s curse falls right on its cover.

Conclusion: Stick With the Gospel That Saves

At the end of the day, you’ve got two gospels before you:

- The biblical gospel of grace, finished at Calvary, sealed at the empty tomb, preached by Paul, Peter, John, and believed by the church for 2,000 years.
- The Mormon gospel of works, delivered by an angel, stamped as “another testament,” and cursed by Paul before it was ever written.

One gospel saves. The other damns.

The Bible’s Christ said, *“I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me”* (John 14:6). Joseph Smith’s Christ says, “Come by me plus temples, tithes, and tokens.” That’s another gospel. And Galatians 1 already pronounced the verdict: **accursed.**

Another testament—against itself.

16 of 30 – The Priesthood Scam

Alma 13 twists the Melchizedek priesthood. Hebrews says Jesus is the one eternal priest (Heb. 7:24–25).

Introduction: When Men Try to Play God

You want to see a scam? Look no further than the Mormon priesthood system. The Book of Mormon in *Alma 13* paints a picture of men being ordained to a “holy order” of the high priesthood, supposedly “after the order of the Son, the Only Begotten of the Father”

Book of Mormon

. They're "washed white," "sanctified," and made high priests forever. Sounds noble until you compare it with Hebrews, where the Spirit of God shouts loud and clear: "*This man, because he continueth ever, hath an unchangeable priesthood*" (Heb. 7:24).

Unchangeable means untransferable. Eternal means eternal. One high priest—Jesus Christ. Period. When Alma starts handing out ordinations like they're badges at a Boy Scout jamboree, you know you're looking at a counterfeit gospel.

Section 1: The Bible's Witness

Hebrews is our handbook on priesthood. Let's quote it straight:

- Hebrews 7:24–25 – "*But this man, because he continueth ever, hath an unchangeable priesthood. Wherefore he is able also to save them to the uttermost that come unto God by him, seeing he ever liveth to make intercession for them.*"

One man. One priesthood. One intercession. Jesus Christ doesn't pass His priesthood to a successor. He doesn't franchise it out to Melchizedek lodges. He holds it permanently.

Compare that to Alma 13 where "many" were ordained high priests because of their "exceeding faith and repentance"

Book of Mormon

. Many? Then Christ isn't unique anymore. Hebrews says His priesthood is unchangeable. Alma says it's transferable. Somebody's lying, and it isn't Paul.

Section 2: The Real Melchizedek

Genesis 14 tells us about Melchizedek: king of Salem, priest of the most high God. Abraham paid him tithes (Gen. 14:18–20). That's it. Hebrews explains that Melchizedek was a type of Christ—without genealogy, without beginning of days, without end of life. He wasn't a system. He was a picture pointing to Jesus.

The Book of Mormon twists this into a system of ordination. Alma 13:14–18 turns Melchizedek into a motivational speaker preaching repentance, called the "prince of peace"

Book of Mormon

. It makes him practically a proto-Christ instead of a type of Christ. That's not scripture—that's distortion.

Section 3: Priesthood in Mormonism

This is where the scam becomes institutionalized. Mormonism teaches two priesthoods: the Aaronic and the Melchizedek. Boys get the Aaronic at twelve, young men graduate to the Melchizedek around eighteen, and leaders rise through the ranks. Titles pile up: elders, high priests, bishops, apostles.

But the Bible says Christ ended the Aaronic priesthood. Hebrews 7:11–12 – *“For if therefore perfection were by the Levitical priesthood, (for under it the people received the law,) what further need was there that another priest should rise after the order of Melchisedec... For the priesthood being changed, there is made of necessity a change also of the law.”*

The law changed. The priesthood changed. And the change was to Christ, not to Utah elders with name badges.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The Eternal Boy Scout Badge

Picture it: a 14-year-old Mormon boy gets ordained to the Aaronic priesthood. Two years later, he “graduates” to the Melchizedek priesthood. Congratulations, kid—you’re now a high priest forever, right alongside Jesus Christ. Just don’t forget your homework assignment on algebra.

That’s not scripture. That’s make-believe. Christ’s eternal priesthood isn’t handed out at youth group meetings. It’s His and His alone.

Section 5: Works vs. Grace

Notice how Alma 13 conditions priesthood on works: faith, repentance, righteousness

Book of Mormon

. In other words, men earned their priesthood by their performance. That’s the Mormon system: you climb a ladder of worthiness until you’ve proven yourself.

The Bible says the opposite. Christ’s priesthood isn’t based on our works. It’s based on His endless life. Hebrews 7:16 – *“Who is made, not after the law of a carnal commandment, but after the power of an endless life.”*

You see the difference? Alma says “many” became priests because of their works. Hebrews says Christ alone holds the priesthood because of His endless life. Mormonism preaches works. The Bible preaches grace.

Section 6: The Priesthood Monopoly

The LDS Church loves its priesthood system because it keeps members chained to the institution. Only the priesthood can perform baptisms, administer the sacrament, marry in the temple, or bless the sick. Without the priesthood, you’re powerless.

But Hebrews says the believer already has a high priest who ever lives to make intercession. We don’t need Salt Lake’s permission. We have Christ’s blood. We don’t need Melchizedek badges. We have the Spirit of God. The Mormon system is a religious monopoly designed to keep you dependent on the church instead of on Christ.

Section 7: Another Testament Against Itself

Now watch the Book of Mormon implode. Alma 13:16 says the ordinances were given so people could look forward to the Son of God

Book of Mormon

. Yet the whole point of Hebrews is that the ordinances were abolished because the Son of God already came. The Book of Mormon claims to point to Him while simultaneously replacing Him with a system of men.

That’s not another testament of Christ. That’s another testament against Christ.

Section 8: Final Hammer from Hebrews

Hebrews 10:11–12 – *“And every priest standeth daily ministering and offering oftentimes the same sacrifices, which can never take away sins: But this man, after he had offered one sacrifice for sins for ever, sat down on the right hand of God.”*

Did you catch that? Every priest stands. Christ sat down. Why? Because it’s finished. The Mormon priesthood scam keeps priests standing forever, offering ordinances, doing works, piling burdens. Christ sat down. Work done. Salvation finished.

Conclusion: One Priest, One Sacrifice, One Gospel

The Book of Mormon's Alma 13 twists Melchizedek into a priesthood system that men can enter. The Bible's Hebrews 7 says Christ alone holds that priesthood forever. The LDS system keeps you chasing worthiness. The gospel of grace gives you Christ's righteousness.

So which do you trust? The unchangeable priesthood of the risen Christ, or the priesthood scam of Joseph Smith's counterfeit religion?

For me, the case is closed. Hebrews 7:24–25 settles it: *“This man, because he continueth ever, hath an unchangeable priesthood. Wherefore he is able also to save them to the uttermost that come unto God by him.”*

Jesus saves. Mormonism enslaves. One is truth. The other is fraud.

Another testament—against itself.

17 of 30 – Men Becoming Gods?

Mosiah 15:2–5 contradicts itself about Father and Son being the same. Mormon theology goes further—man can become a god. KJV laughs.

Introduction: Confusion in Print

Mosiah 15 opens with Abinadi preaching that *“God himself shall come down among the children of men”* and that because He dwells in the flesh, He shall be called the Son of God, thus becoming both Father and Son

Book of Mormon

. Then verse 4 adds, *“And they are one God, yea, the very Eternal Father of heaven and of earth”*

Book of Mormon

.

Do you see the confusion? Is Christ the Father? Is He the Son? Is He both? The Book of Mormon muddies the waters, creating contradictions. The KJV, on the other hand,

distinguishes clearly: the Father sent the Son, the Son prayed to the Father, the Father raised the Son from the dead. Distinction with unity—that's the Trinity.

But Mormonism doesn't stop at confusion. Joseph Smith later taught in the *King Follett Discourse* that God was once a man and men can become gods. That's not just confusion—that's delusion. The KJV laughs it out of the courtroom: "*Before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me*" (Isa. 43:10).

Section 1: The Contradiction in Mosiah 15

Mosiah 15:2–3 says Christ is both Father and Son because of the union of God and flesh. Verse 4 then declares the Father and Son are "one God." Verse 5 then presents them as separate—the flesh subject to the Spirit

Book of Mormon

. Which is it? One being? Two roles? Two persons?

The KJV never plays such word games. It shows the Son praying to the Father (John 17:1). It shows the Father speaking from heaven as the Son is baptized (Matt. 3:16–17). It shows Christ at God's right hand after the resurrection (Acts 7:55–56). That's distinction with unity, not contradiction.

Section 2: The Biblical Christ vs. the Book of Mormon Christ

The KJV Christ is co-equal with the Father, eternally God, yet distinct as Son. He didn't "become" the Father by incarnation. He has always been the eternal Son (John 1:1–2, 14).

The Book of Mormon Christ is a confused hybrid—sometimes the Father, sometimes the Son, sometimes both. That's not biblical doctrine. That's sloppy theology pretending to be revelation.

Section 3: Joseph Smith's Upgrade—Men Becoming Gods

Smith didn't leave the confusion at Mosiah. He expanded it into open heresy:

- In the *King Follett Discourse* (1844), Smith preached: "*God himself was once as we are now, and is an exalted man... you have got to learn how to be Gods yourselves.*"

- Doctrine and Covenants 132:20: *“Then shall they be gods, because they have no end.”*

So not only is God supposedly a man exalted, but man supposedly becomes a god. That’s paganism wrapped in pseudo-Christian robes.

The KJV smashes it: *“Ye are my witnesses, saith the LORD... before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me”* (Isa. 43:10). The line is closed. One God. No others before, none after, none alongside. Period.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The Heavenly Pyramid Scheme

Mormon theology is basically a celestial MLM. God was once a man who got promoted. Now He runs the universe. If you join the system, you too can get promoted and run your own universe someday. It’s divine Amway. Everyone gets to be a god if they pay their dues, wear the right underwear, and climb the temple ladder.

The Bible laughs. Psalm 82:6 calls judges “gods” metaphorically, but immediately adds, *“ye shall die like men.”* Mortals don’t get promoted to deity. That’s Lucifer’s sales pitch in Genesis 3: *“Ye shall be as gods.”* Mormonism swallowed the same bait.

Section 5: The Trinity vs. Polytheism

The KJV declares the Trinity: Father, Son, and Spirit—one God in three persons. Distinct yet united. Eternal, unchanging, holy.

Mormonism offers polytheism with a twist. Countless gods, each ruling their own world. Heavenly fathers begetting spirit children. A council of gods above gods. That’s not Christianity—that’s warmed-over paganism.

Section 6: The Eternal Priesthood of Christ

Hebrews 7:24–25 says Christ holds an unchangeable priesthood and ever lives to intercede. That means you don’t need a ladder to godhood. You need a Savior who saves to the uttermost.

Mormonism says you climb the ladder yourself. The Bible says Christ already climbed down, picked you up, and carried you to glory. That’s grace vs. works, salvation vs. scam.

Section 7: Another Testament Against Itself

Mosiah 15 tries to mash Father and Son into one being, but later Mormonism separates them into two distinct gods. Then it multiplies gods endlessly with exaltation doctrine. Which is it? The Book of Mormon contradicts itself. Joseph Smith contradicts his own book.

Meanwhile, the KJV stays steady: One God, three persons, salvation by grace, Christ as eternal Son, never changing, never contradicted.

Conclusion: The KJV Laughs

So here's the bottom line:

- The Book of Mormon: Christ is Father and Son, confused and contradictory.
- Mormon theology: Men can become gods, following God who was once a man.
- The KJV Bible: One God, eternal and unchanging. Men are dust, God is deity.

The Bible laughs at Mormonism's pretensions. It exposes Mosiah's contradictions. It tears down Joseph Smith's blasphemy.

Another testament? No. Another gospel? Yes—and Galatians 1:8 already slammed the gavel: **accursed**.

Another testament—against itself.

18 of 30 – No Archaeology, No Evidence

No Nephite coins, no Lamanite cities, no battle sites. Compare with the Bible's overflowing archaeology.

Introduction: The Missing Evidence

Mormonism loves to tell stories about massive civilizations: Nephites numbering in the hundreds of thousands, Lamanites sweeping across fortified cities, entire nations wiped out at the hill Cumorah

Book of Mormon

. Yet here we are two centuries after Joseph Smith, with thousands of digs in the Americas, and what do we have? Nothing. Not a coin, not a sword, not an inscription, not a single ruin that can be traced to Nephi, Alma, Mosiah, or Mormon.

Compare that with the Bible. Every time skeptics sneered, a shovel proved them wrong. David was once “mythical,” until the Tel Dan stele. Belshazzar was a fiction, until cuneiform tablets surfaced. The Hittites were a joke, until archaeologists uncovered their empire. Archaeology continually confirms the Book, but it continually condemns the Book of Mormon.

Section 1: Nephite “Coins” That Don’t Exist

Alma 11 describes detailed monetary systems with “senines” and “shums.” But archaeology has never unearthed one. The Bible, on the other hand, is saturated with coins: denarii, shekels, drachmas—hundreds of examples sit in museums today.

If the Nephites minted and used money, where are the coins? The silence screams fraud.

Section 2: Fortified Cities Nowhere to Be Found

The Book of Mormon is filled with war narratives: fortified cities with towers, walls, and trenches

Book of Mormon

. Cities like Zarahemla, Nephi, Bountiful, Cumorah. But try to find them on a map. Try to find ruins that match the descriptions. Nothing.

Meanwhile, Jericho’s walls are still a tourist attraction. Megiddo’s ruins testify to centuries of battles. Nineveh’s gates still stand. The Bible’s places line up with the dirt. The Book of Mormon’s places vanish into thin air.

Section 3: Cumorah—The Hill of Silence

According to Mormon 6, the Nephites gathered at Cumorah for a final battle—hundreds of thousands slain

Book of Mormon

. Picture Gettysburg, but multiplied. Bones, weapons, fortifications should be everywhere. Archaeologists have combed New York (where Smith said Cumorah was) and Mexico (where apologists try to relocate it). Nothing. Not even a rusted arrowhead.

If the battle really happened, the ground should be packed with remains. Instead, it's empty.

Section 4: Cities that Never Were

The Book of Mormon lists dozens of cities: Nephihah, Morianton, Omner, Mulek, Gid

Book of Mormon

. None are found in Mayan or Aztec ruins. No inscriptions mention them. No stones cry out their names. In contrast, the Bible names match archaeology: Jerusalem, Samaria, Bethlehem, Capernaum—all verified.

It's simple. The Book of Mormon invents cities that never existed.

Section 5: Sarcasm Interlude – The Indiana Jones Test

Imagine Indiana Jones digging in Central America. He stumbles across a stone tablet: “Welcome to Zarahemla.” Do you think the Smithsonian would ignore it? Do you think BYU wouldn't plaster it on billboards? Yet in two hundred years, nothing like that has turned up.

The only place Zarahemla exists is in the pages of Smith's novel.

Section 6: Mormon Apologetics vs. Real Science

Mormon apologists try to salvage the problem. They say, “Maybe the names are lost.” Or “The civilizations blended into Mayan culture.” Or “The records perished.” But that excuse doesn't work. Real civilizations leave remains: pottery shards, burial sites, tools, inscriptions. The Jaredites supposedly lasted two millennia, yet not a bead of evidence survives.

Science isn't biased against them. Archaeology has found everything from Viking settlements in Newfoundland to Native American burial mounds. If Nephites and Lamanites existed, they'd leave something. They didn't.

Section 7: Bible Archaeology Overflow

The Bible, by contrast, is dripping with finds:

- Hezekiah's tunnel (2 Kings 20:20).
- The Pool of Siloam (John 9:7).
- Caiaphas' ossuary (the high priest who condemned Jesus).
- Pilate's inscription in Caesarea.

When Scripture names a place, you can dig it up. When the Book of Mormon names a place, you can only imagine it.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

Let's stack it up:

- **Book of Mormon:** Hundreds of thousands slain, countless battles, detailed coins, fortified cities. Evidence = 0.
- **Bible:** Thousands of years of history, kings, prophets, wars. Evidence = overflowing museums.

The Book of Mormon fails the test of history. It brands itself as another testament, but archaeology exposes it as another fiction.

Conclusion: The Shovel Speaks

Archaeology is the great equalizer. It doesn't lie. It doesn't take sides. It digs, it sifts, it proves. And it proves the Bible over and over, while proving the Book of Mormon false by silence.

No coins. No cities. No battlefields. No evidence.

The KJV stands on solid rock. The Book of Mormon sinks in sand.

Another testament? No. Another lie. Another testament against itself.

19 of 30 – A Borrowed Gospel of Works

The Book of Mormon copies Catholic-style salvation by obedience. Paul thundered against it in Romans and Galatians.

Introduction: The Shadow of Rome in Joseph’s Book

Take the Book of Mormon and hold it up to the light. What you’ll see shining through is the ghost of Rome—the Catholic gospel of works, dressed up in King James language. Alma says men must repent, keep commandments, and do righteous works to be saved

Book of Mormon

. Mosiah says salvation is doled out based on works

Book of Mormon

. Mosiah 4:6–8 even puts salvation on the condition of continual obedience

Book of Mormon

.

Strip away the “ancient record” disguise, and you’re left with the same treadmill Rome built: sacraments, penance, confessions, pilgrimages. Joseph Smith didn’t create a new gospel—he borrowed a rotten one already condemned in Galatians 1.

Section 1: The Book of Mormon’s Works Gospel

Alma’s Sermon of To-Do Salvation

Alma 5:19–24 insists that salvation comes to those who “repent, keep the commandments, strip themselves of pride, and do the works of righteousness”

Book of Mormon

. That’s a grocery list of requirements, not a gospel of grace.

Mosiah’s Catholic Echo

Mosiah 3:24–25 declares men will be judged “every man according to his works, whether they be good, or whether they be evil”

Book of Mormon

. That's Catholicism's scales of merit—the very system Paul called dung compared to the righteousness of Christ (Phil. 3:8–9).

Mosiah's Checklist Salvation

Mosiah 4:6–8 lays out salvation's "conditions": believe in God, keep His commandments diligently, endure faithfully, and then—maybe—you'll receive salvation

Book of Mormon

. That's not grace—it's works plus grace, which Paul said is no grace at all (Rom. 11:6).

Section 2: Paul's Thunderclap in Romans

Paul anticipated this junk. He saw it in Judaism, Rome, and foresaw it in false testaments to come.

- Romans 3:28 – *"Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith without the deeds of the law."*
- Romans 4:4–5 – *"Now to him that worketh is the reward not reckoned of grace, but of debt. But to him that worketh not, but believeth on him that justifieth the ungodly, his faith is counted for righteousness."*

If salvation comes by "faith plus obedience," it's no longer grace—it's wages owed.

Mormonism parrots Rome: grace is seasoning sprinkled on the dish of obedience. But Paul slams the door: salvation is gift, not wages.

Section 3: Galatians—The Guillotine for Works Gospels

Galatians is the chainsaw that cuts Mormonism's tree at the root.

- Galatians 2:16 – *"A man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ."*
- Galatians 3:10 – *"For as many as are of the works of the law are under the curse."*
- Galatians 5:4 – *"Christ is become of no effect unto you, whosoever of you are justified by the law; ye are fallen from grace."*

Catholicism, Mormonism, or any system that ties salvation to law-keeping stands under that curse. The Book of Mormon is just the same recycled treadmill—Rome's works gospel rewritten in pseudo-biblical language.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The Heavenly To-Do List

Imagine judgment day under Mormon theology. An angel calls your name and hands you a clipboard:

- Did you repent enough?
- Did you keep commandments enough?
- Did you endure enough?
- Did you tithe, attend, obey, and submit enough?

If the boxes are all checked, congratulations—you're in. If not, sorry, eternal regret. That's not gospel—that's bureaucracy.

Section 5: Rome and Utah—Two Birds, One Cage

Catholicism says: *“Salvation is through faith plus the sacraments and obedience to the Church.”*

Mormonism says: *“Salvation is through faith plus repentance, commandments, temple rites, and obedience to the Church.”*

Same cage, different paint job. Both make you dependent on the institution. Both rob Christ of His finished work. Both produce bondage, guilt, and no assurance.

Section 6: The KJV's Pure Gospel

The Authorized Version cuts through the clutter:

- John 5:24 – *“He that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life.”*
- Ephesians 2:8–9 – *“For by grace are ye saved through faith... not of works, lest any man should boast.”*
- Titus 3:5 – *“Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us.”*

No clipboards. No treadmill. No sacraments. No Mormon priesthoods. Just Christ—His cross, His blood, His resurrection.

Section 7: Another Testament Against Itself

Here's the irony: the Book of Mormon claims to restore "plain and precious truths" lost from the Bible. But the truth it restores is Rome's gospel of works. Alma and Mosiah echo Catholic catechisms more than Scripture.

That makes the Book of Mormon what Paul warned about: another gospel. Not "another testament of Christ." Another testament against Christ.

Conclusion: Grace vs. Works, Life vs. Death

At the end of the day, the choice is simple:

- The Book of Mormon: Salvation by obedience, repentance, works, commandments. Rome with a new address.
- The Bible: Salvation by grace through faith alone in Christ alone. Finished. Sealed. Eternal.

Paul thundered it in Romans. He thundered it in Galatians. And he still thunders it today: another gospel is no gospel. The Book of Mormon's works-salvation system isn't a testament of Christ. It's a testament of man's pride.

Another testament? No. Another gospel. And Galatians 1:8 already slammed it: **accursed**.

Another testament—against itself.

20 of 30 – False Prophecies of Joseph Smith

He claimed entire cities would be built (like Zion in Missouri) that never were. Bible standard: one failed prophecy = false prophet (Deut. 18:22).

Introduction: The Prophecy Litmus Test

The Bible does not stutter when it comes to prophets. Isaiah's prophecies came to pass, Daniel's timelines landed on the dot, and Jesus Christ Himself fulfilled every line written of

Him. The test is clear: if even one prophecy fails, the man is false. No excuses, no “maybe later,” no “spiritualized fulfillment.” One strike, you’re out.

Joseph Smith loved to throw around prophecies like candy at a parade. Whole cities would rise. Christ would return within a generation. The temple would be built in Missouri “in this generation.” Enemies would be destroyed. Men would live to see the Son of Man. And every last one of those boasts went belly-up.

By the Bible’s standard, Joseph Smith isn’t a prophet of God. He’s a presumptuous liar.

Section 1: Zion in Missouri — The City That Never Was

In Doctrine and Covenants 57 (1831), Smith declared Independence, Missouri, to be the “center place” of Zion. He promised the New Jerusalem would be built there and that a temple would rise “in this generation.” Saints bought land, dedicated sites, and poured their hope into it.

Fast forward two centuries: is there a New Jerusalem in Independence? No. Is there a temple on the dedicated lot? No. There’s a grassy field owned by the Church of Christ (Temple Lot), still empty.

Smith’s prophecy is a dud. The Bible said one failed prophecy makes a man false (Deut. 18:22). Smith racked up dozens.

Section 2: Christ’s Return Within a Generation

In Doctrine and Covenants 130:14–17, Smith declared that if he lived to be 85 years old (he was born in 1805), Christ would return. Do the math: 1890. He didn’t live to 85, but the prophecy implied the generation would see the Lord’s return. Did it happen? No. 1890 came and went. No Christ. No Second Coming. Another strike.

Jesus said of His return, “*of that day and hour knoweth no man*” (Matt. 24:36). Joseph claimed to know. That alone stamps him as a fraud.

Section 3: The Missouri Temple “In This Generation”

Doctrine and Covenants 84:2–5 declared that a temple would be built in Independence “in this generation.” That was 1832. The clock has ticked nearly 200 years. No temple. The LDS

Church built one in Salt Lake, sure — but the prophecy was specific: Independence, Missouri.

It didn't happen. False prophecy. By biblical standard, Joseph Smith is false.

Section 4: Enemies to Be Destroyed

Smith prophesied in Doctrine and Covenants 97:19–20 that Zion would be safe from all enemies. Yet in 1833, mobs drove the Saints out of Missouri. Zion wasn't protected. Enemies won. Another prophecy toppled.

Section 5: Sarcasm Interlude — The Ghost Town of Zion

Picture it: 1831 Saints buy lots in Independence, mark plots for Zion, hammer stakes into the ground, and sing hymns about the New Jerusalem rising. Smith thunders: “Thus saith the Lord — Zion will be built here!”

Now fast forward. Tourists stroll through Independence today, visiting the Community of Christ temple and a few visitor centers. Zion? Nowhere. Joseph Smith's Zion looks more like a ghost town dream than prophecy.

Section 6: Failed Civil War Prophecy

Smith also “prophesied” that the American Civil War would spread to all nations (Doctrine and Covenants 87). Yes, the Civil War happened. But did it engulf all nations? No. It stayed American. Smith got half a prophecy right and the other half dead wrong. Close only counts in horseshoes, not prophecy.

Section 7: The Bible vs. Joseph Smith

Contrast this with Scripture. Isaiah named Cyrus by name 150 years before he was born (Isa. 44:28–45:1). Daniel's prophecies hit successive empires right on the mark. Jesus Christ fulfilled over 300 prophecies perfectly. The Bible's prophets stand vindicated by history.

Joseph Smith's prophecies crumble under a junior-high history book.

Section 8: Excuses Don't Work

Mormons say, "Well, maybe the prophecy was conditional." Or, "Maybe it's not yet fulfilled." Sorry, Deuteronomy 18 doesn't give that option. If a prophet says something will happen, and it doesn't, he's false. Smith promised Zion "in this generation." Two centuries later, still nothing. Case closed.

Section 9: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon claims to bring restored truth, but its founder can't even pass the basic test of a prophet. He predicted temples, cities, victories, and timelines that never came. That makes the whole system rotten.

Conclusion: The Bible's Verdict

The Bible says one failed prophecy makes a man false. Joseph Smith had dozens. Zion in Missouri? Never built. Temple "in this generation"? Still a grassy lot. Christ's return? Didn't happen. Civil War spreading worldwide? Wrong again.

By the Bible's measure, Joseph Smith is not a prophet. He's a false prophet. And the Book of Mormon is not another testament of Christ — it's another testament against itself.

21 of 30 – DNA Test: Not Israelites

The Book of Mormon says Lamanites are Israelites. Modern DNA proves Native Americans aren't from Israel.

Introduction: The Curse of the Gene Pool

Joseph Smith stood tall in 1830 and declared the Book of Mormon was the history of "the Lamanites," who he said were the ancestors of the Native American tribes. The *Introduction* of the Book of Mormon (until quietly altered in 2007 by the LDS Church) read: "*After thousands of years, all were destroyed except the Lamanites, and they are the principal ancestors of the American Indians.*"

That's a bold claim. It's also a testable one. Because unlike theology, DNA doesn't lie. You can pull a cheek swab from a Navajo, Cherokee, or Sioux, and trace the lineage back across centuries. And what do the genetic markers say? They say Asia, not Israel. Siberia, not Jerusalem. Bering Strait, not Lehi's boat.

Smith wrote himself into a scientific trap. And now the DNA evidence exposes the lie.

Section 1: The Book of Mormon's Claim

The narrative is simple. Around 600 B.C., Lehi and his family left Jerusalem, sailed across the ocean, and landed in the Americas. From them sprang two groups: Nephites (righteous) and Lamanites (wicked). The Lamanites, according to the Book, became the ancestors of Native Americans.

Alma, Mosiah, and Mormon all describe wars, migrations, and the spread of these people across the land. By Smith's telling, when Columbus arrived, he was meeting Lamanites — Israelites by blood, cursed with dark skin as a sign of rebellion.

That's not me talking. That's the Book of Mormon.

Section 2: The DNA Evidence

Now comes the science. In the last 30 years, geneticists have studied thousands of Native American DNA samples. The results are unanimous:

- Native American DNA belongs almost entirely to haplogroups A, B, C, D, and X.
- These groups trace back to populations in Asia, particularly Siberia and Mongolia.
- Migration occurred thousands of years earlier across the Bering land bridge.

Not one significant trace of Middle Eastern haplogroups (like J or K, common in Israelites) has been found among Native Americans. In other words: no Israelite DNA.

Section 3: Mormon Backpedaling

The LDS Church used to trumpet loudly: Native Americans are Lamanites. The Book's Introduction said so. Missionaries were sent to "redeem the Lamanites." Smith himself declared it.

But when DNA hit the scene, the story changed. Suddenly the Introduction was revised in 2007 to read: “*The Lamanites are among the ancestors of the American Indians.*” Did you catch that? From “the principal ancestors” to “among the ancestors.” A downgrade, a dodge, a cover-up.

That’s not inspiration. That’s desperation.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heavenly DNA Testing

Imagine this: Lehi and Nephi step off their boat in 600 B.C., plant their flag in America, and say, “From us shall come mighty nations!” Then a modern scientist swabs a Navajo cheek, runs it through the lab, and says, “Sorry boys, you’re related to Mongolia, not Jerusalem.”

The DNA laughs. The Book of Mormon cries.

Section 5: The Bible’s Lineage vs. the BOM’s Lie

The Bible traces Israel’s lineage with razor-sharp clarity. Genealogies connect Adam to Noah, Noah to Abraham, Abraham to David, David to Christ. Archaeology and history back it up. Jewish DNA today still carries distinct Middle Eastern markers, proof of continuity.

The Book of Mormon claims to add another branch: Israelites to America. But the DNA isn’t there. You can’t erase genetics with testimony. You can’t rewrite chromosomes with feelings.

Section 6: The Apologists’ Excuses

Mormon apologists squirm and spin. They say:

- “Maybe Lehi’s DNA was lost in the larger Native population.”
- “Maybe it was too diluted to detect.”
- “Maybe God miraculously removed it.”

Those aren’t answers. They’re excuses. If God miraculously erased the evidence, then why leave us a Book that depends on it? If Lehi’s descendants vanished genetically, then the entire premise of the Book collapses.

Section 7: Romans and Galatians vs. Mormon Works Gospel

This ties into the broader scam. The Book of Mormon pushes salvation by obedience, just like Rome. But it also tries to hitch Israel's promises to a counterfeit people group. Paul said in Romans 9:6, *"For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel."* Yet Joseph Smith declared entire tribes of Native Americans were Israel — and DNA says they aren't.

Another gospel, another Israel, another lie.

Section 8: The Curse of Lamanites

The Book of Mormon claims the Lamanites were cursed with dark skin for rebellion. That was Smith's racist explanation for Native American appearance. But DNA reveals Native Americans didn't need a curse from Jerusalem — they were already Asians migrating through Beringia. Smith's "curse" was just 19th-century racism baptized in pseudo-scripture.

Section 9: Another Testament Against Itself

Here's the punchline:

- The Book of Mormon claims Native Americans are Israelites.
- DNA proves they are Asians.
- The LDS Church quietly changes its Introduction to cover the contradiction.

That's not another testament of Jesus Christ. That's another testament against itself.

Conclusion: Trust the Bible, Not the Swabbed Lie

The KJV Bible's history is verifiable. Israel's DNA is traceable. Christ's lineage is undeniable. The Book of Mormon's history evaporates under a cheek swab.

No Israelite DNA in Native Americans. No genetic trace of Lehi. No evidence of Lamanites.

Joseph Smith's fraud has been unmasked by science itself. Deuteronomy 18:22 already condemned him as a false prophet. DNA testing just piled on the dirt.

Another testament? No. Another lie. Another testament against itself.

22 of 30 – Baptizing the Dead

1 Corinthians 15:29 twisted into Mormon doctrine. The Book of Mormon lays groundwork for this necromancy.

Introduction: Necromancy in a White Shirt and Tie

No cult can resist adding something bizarre to its doctrine to make itself special. Rome has the mass. Islam has jihad. Mormonism has baptizing corpses. Yes, you heard me right: the LDS Church has turned funeral homes into water parks, splashing proxy converts into heaven by dipping their names in a tank. And they claim Paul started it all in 1 Corinthians 15:29.

But let's set the record straight: Paul never commanded it, never taught it, and never once tied salvation to ritual dunkings for the dead. The Book of Mormon, however, laid the groundwork by teaching water regenerates, obedience saves, and rituals secure eternity. That's not Christianity. That's necromancy with Bible verses duct-taped on.

Section 1: The Lone Verse They Abuse

1 Corinthians 15:29 says: *“Else what shall they do which are baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all? why are they then baptized for the dead?”*

Notice carefully — Paul never says, “We should baptize for the dead.” He says “they.” Whoever “they” were, Paul doesn't include himself. He's arguing for the resurrection, not for a ritual. His point is simple: if even some confused group was baptizing for the dead, then their actions prove they believed in a resurrection. Paul isn't endorsing it — he's using it as an illustration.

Mormons, however, grabbed that single verse like a drowning man clutching a straw and built a billion-dollar temple empire on it.

Section 2: The Book of Mormon's Baptismal Bedrock

Before the doctrine ever crawled out of the Doctrine and Covenants, the Book of Mormon was already teaching baptism saves.

- **Mosiah 18:16** – Alma's converts are baptized “for the remission of their sins.”

- **3 Nephi 11:38** – Unless a man is baptized, “he can in nowise inherit the kingdom of God.”
- **Moroni 8:11** – Little children are baptized to remove original sin, contradicting the Bible’s clear statement that children are innocent (Deut. 1:39; 2 Sam. 12:23).

That’s the groundwork. Once you make baptism a magical key to heaven, it’s only a matter of time before you say, “Well, what about Grandma? She wasn’t baptized! Quick, dunk Cousin Jimmy in her place!”

Section 3: The Necromancer’s Temple

Mormon temples aren’t just pretty buildings. They’re factories of the dead. Proxy baptisms churn through thousands of names every day, pulled from genealogy databases. Great-grandma, Genghis Khan, Hitler, and Elvis — all lined up to get splashed by some 12-year-old boy in a font sitting on the backs of 12 oxen statues.

You can dress it in white linen and stained glass, but it’s necromancy all the same: communication and ritual for the dead. God condemned it in Deut. 18:10–12. Yet Joseph Smith turned it into a sacrament.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven’s Swimming Pool

Imagine heaven run like a YMCA. Angel Gabriel calls roll: “Who’s up for laps? Okay, Nephi, you’re in lane three. Grandma Esther, you got dunked by proxy, so head over to the pearly showers.”

That’s Mormonism’s picture of salvation: an endless splash pad for dead folks who never believed in Christ. You can’t make this stuff up — unless you’re Joseph Smith.

Section 5: Paul’s Real Teaching

Paul never tied baptism to salvation, let alone resurrection.

- 1 Cor. 1:17 – *“Christ sent me not to baptize, but to preach the gospel.”*
- Ephesians 2:8–9 – Salvation is by grace through faith, not works.
- Romans 6:3–4 – Baptism is symbolic of Christ’s death and resurrection, not a channel of saving grace.

The “baptism for the dead” mention in 1 Cor. 15 is a throwaway reference to someone else’s practice. Paul neither praises nor commands it. If anything, he distances himself from it.

Section 6: The Danger of Baptizing the Dead

Mormon baptism for the dead isn’t harmless ritual. It:

1. **Undermines the Gospel** — It says Christ’s blood isn’t enough unless water is applied, even posthumously.
2. **Undermines Personal Faith** — It assumes you can be saved by someone else’s obedience after you’re gone.
3. **Undermines Scripture** — It elevates Joseph Smith’s revelation over the KJV’s clear message.

Worse, it appeals to the flesh. “Don’t worry about Aunt May dying outside the church. We’ll dunk her into heaven later.” It lulls families into trusting ritual instead of Christ.

Section 7: The Bible’s Clear Witness on the Dead

What happens when you die? The Bible is blunt:

- Hebrews 9:27 – *“It is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment.”*
- Luke 16:19–31 – The rich man in hell begged for relief, but none was granted. No baptism, no ritual, no second chance.
- John 3:18 – He that believeth not is condemned already.

No proxy ritual can undo unbelief. Once death strikes, the gavel falls. Eternity is sealed. Mormonism’s baptisms for the dead contradict every line of God’s Word.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon lays the track: baptism regenerates, baptism forgives, baptism saves. The Doctrine and Covenants builds the train: dead people need baptism too. And the LDS Church keeps it rolling: a global genealogy machine to drag every dead soul into their font.

But Paul already answered it: if Christ be not raised, your baptism means nothing (1 Cor. 15:17). And if Christ be risen, then His blood alone saves — not temple plumbing.

Section 9: The Sarcastic Parallel with Rome

Notice how Mormonism and Catholicism are cousins again. Rome prays the dead out of purgatory with masses. Utah dunks the dead out of hell with fonts. Different rituals, same necromantic instinct: “Let’s fix eternity by playing priest.”

But the KJV cuts it all to ribbons. Christ’s work is finished (John 19:30). You can’t add to it. You can’t rerun it in a temple. You can’t splash it on your dead uncle.

Conclusion: Choose the Living Christ, Not the Dead Ritual

At the end of the day, the question is simple:

- Will you trust Christ, who saves forever by His blood?
- Or will you trust Joseph Smith’s waterworks, splashing names into a false Zion?

Mormon baptism for the dead is necromancy baptized in King James English. It’s not “another testament of Jesus Christ.” It’s another testament against itself.

The living Christ offers life. The dead rituals of Smith offer nothing but wet corpses.

23 of 30 – Jesus vs. Lucifer Brothers?

Mormon theology claims Jesus and Lucifer are brothers. The Bible calls Satan a liar and murderer from the beginning (John 8:44).

Introduction: The Blasphemy of a False Brotherhood

Of all the doctrines the LDS Church has pushed, this one might be the most damnable. In their warped cosmology, God the Father had literal spirit-children. Jesus was one. Lucifer was another. You, me, everybody — all spirit-babies birthed by some celestial union. According to their theology, Jesus and Lucifer are siblings, vying for the Father’s plan.

That's not Scripture. That's mythology. That's Milton fanfiction baptized as doctrine. The King James Bible never once suggests Christ and Satan share any equality. Christ is God manifest in the flesh (John 1:1, 14). Lucifer is a creature — a rebellious cherub (Ezek. 28:14–16). Putting them on the same family tree is not only heresy — it's satanic flattery.

Section 1: Mormon Doctrine in Their Own Words

LDS teaching manuals, prophets, and leaders have declared it plain:

- “The firstborn spirit child was Jesus. The second was Lucifer.”
- Bruce R. McConkie, Mormon apostle, taught: “The Devil is a spirit son of God who was born as a spirit being.”
- Joseph Smith's cosmology places both Christ and Satan in the same category: children of Heavenly Father.

It's not a fringe teaching. It's Mormon bedrock. Missionaries might dodge it at the door, but their leaders have hammered it for generations.

Section 2: The Bible's Absolute Distinction

Now let's line it up with the KJV.

Jesus Christ:

- John 1:1 – *“In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.”*
- Colossians 1:16–17 – *“By him were all things created... whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers.”*
- Hebrews 1:8 – *“But unto the Son he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever.”*

Jesus Christ is eternal God, uncreated, coequal with the Father, Creator of all.

Lucifer:

- Ezekiel 28:15 – *“Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee.”*
- Isaiah 14:12 – *“How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning!”*
- John 8:44 – *“He was a murderer from the beginning... he is a liar, and the father of it.”*

Lucifer is a creature. Jesus is the Creator. Lucifer is a liar. Jesus is the truth (John 14:6). Lucifer is a murderer. Jesus is the life. You can't confuse them unless you're blinded by the devil himself.

Section 3: The Heresy's Root — Making God Man-Sized

Where does this nonsense come from? It starts with Mormonism's warped idea of God. Smith taught that God the Father was once a man who became exalted. If God was once a man, then He's just one of many, and His "children" can become gods too. That opens the door to saying Christ and Lucifer were siblings.

It's a downgrade of God and an upgrade of the devil. Classic satanic inversion.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven's Family Reunion

Picture the Mormon heaven. God at the head of the table, Jesus passing out bread rolls, Lucifer sulking in the corner because his "plan of salvation" got voted down. Really? That's theology? That's not heaven — that's Thanksgiving dinner gone wrong. The Bible's heaven doesn't look like a sitcom. It's a throne room where angels veil their faces before the Holy One (Isa. 6:2–3). There's no "brother rivalry" there.

Section 5: Why This Doctrine Is So Dangerous

Making Christ and Satan brothers does three satanic things:

1. **It lowers Christ** — Jesus is reduced to just another spirit-child, not the eternal Creator.
2. **It elevates Satan** — Lucifer is given equal footing, as if his rebellion was just another "plan."
3. **It confuses believers** — It muddies the gospel, making salvation about picking the right brother's plan rather than trusting the blood of Christ.

This is not a harmless quirk. It's a full-scale assault on the deity of Christ.

Section 6: The Biblical Christ vs. the Mormon Christ

The Mormon Christ is a created being who earned exaltation. The Biblical Christ is eternal God who humbled Himself for sinners.

- Mormon Christ: one brother among many.
- Bible Christ: the only begotten Son of God (John 3:16).
- Mormon Christ: a created spirit.
- Bible Christ: Creator of all spirits (Col. 1:16).

It's not the same Jesus. Paul warned in 2 Corinthians 11:4 about "another Jesus." This is Exhibit A.

Section 7: The Real Lucifer

Let's not forget who Lucifer really is.

- He was the anointed cherub (Ezek. 28:14).
- He fell through pride (Isa. 14:13–14).
- He deceived Eve (Gen. 3:1–5).
- He's "the god of this world" (2 Cor. 4:4).
- His end is the lake of fire (Rev. 20:10).

The Bible never once suggests Lucifer shares a divine parentage with Christ. He's a fallen angel, a loser awaiting judgment.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon doesn't spell out the brother-doctrine as bluntly as later Mormon prophets did, but it laid the groundwork by confusing the nature of God and Christ. If Jesus is just another exalted being, then sure, why not make Lucifer one too? But that's not revelation — that's regression into paganism. Pagan myths always have warring siblings, cosmic rivalries, jealous gods. Mormonism just rehashed it and slapped "Jesus" and "Lucifer" on top.

But Scripture cuts it down: "*Before Abraham was, I am*" (John 8:58). That's Christ declaring deity, not sibling rivalry.

Section 9: Sarcasm Interlude – The Devil’s PR Campaign

You’ve got to hand it to the devil — he knows marketing. He couldn’t beat Christ outright, so he whispered into Joseph Smith’s ear: “Make us brothers. That way, people will think I’m almost as good as Him.” And Smith, eager for his own cult, swallowed it. Mormonism is Satan’s PR campaign, turning the devil into Christ’s brother instead of his creation.

Section 10: Conclusion — Creator vs. Creature

At the end of the day, the lines are crystal clear:

- **Jesus Christ** — Eternal, Almighty, Alpha and Omega, Creator, Redeemer, God manifest in the flesh.
- **Lucifer** — Created, fallen, liar, murderer, destined for hell.

No brotherhood. No equality. No rivalry. Just a King and a rebel.

Mormon theology says Jesus and Lucifer are brothers. The KJV Bible says Christ is God and Lucifer is damned. One is the Word of Life, the other the father of lies.

That’s not another testament of Christ. That’s another testament against itself.

24 of 30 – Spirit Babies and Planet Kolob

Doctrines of pre-existence and Kolob sneak in through Mormon tradition, not the Bible.

Introduction: From Bethlehem to B-Movies

The Bible gives us Bethlehem, Calvary, and the Mount of Olives. Mormonism gives us Kolob — the planet nearest God’s throne. One is history, the other is science fiction. And right alongside Kolob comes the doctrine of “spirit babies”: billions of unborn souls supposedly birthed in heaven by a heavenly father and his celestial wives, waiting for their turn to drop into human bodies on earth.

This isn’t Christianity. This is cosmic polygamy and science fiction theology rolled into one. Joseph Smith didn’t get this from God’s Word; he borrowed it from occult mysticism, gnostic pre-existence theories, and his own fertile imagination.

Section 1: The Doctrine of Spirit Babies

Mormon doctrine teaches that every human being pre-existed as a spirit child of God before being born on earth. Jesus, Lucifer, you, me — all were once spirit siblings in heaven. Our earthly birth is just a step in the eternal ladder of progression.

But the KJV demolishes that idea.

- Ecclesiastes 12:7 says the spirit returns to God at death, not that it pre-existed.
- Zechariah 12:1 says God “formeth the spirit of man within him” — not before him.
- Colossians 1:16 says all things were created by Christ — not birthed by celestial polygamy.

No pre-existence, no heavenly nursery. Spirit babies are a Mormon invention.

Section 2: Kolob — Planet of Pagan Imagination

Then there’s Kolob, the supposed “star nearest the throne of God,” mentioned in the Pearl of Great Price (Book of Abraham 3). Smith claimed Kolob governed other stars and was a kind of divine HQ. Mormons still sing, “If You Could Hie to Kolob” in their hymnbook.

The Bible knows no such thing. Heaven is not a planet. God’s throne is not orbiting a star. Kolob is paganism with a telescope — a repackaged version of the Babylonian star-worship condemned in Deuteronomy 4:19 and Amos 5:26.

Section 3: The Root — A Shrinking God

Why did Smith invent spirit babies and Kolob? Because his god is too small. He turned the Almighty into a glorified man with a body, living near a star, fathering spirit children. That’s not the omnipresent, eternal “I AM” of Exodus 3:14. That’s a pagan deity stuck in time and space.

The KJV’s God says: “*Do not I fill heaven and earth?*” (Jer. 23:24). He isn’t localized to a star. He doesn’t need wives to multiply. He is eternal, infinite, self-existent. Smith’s god is a cosmic polygamist on a galactic throne.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven’s Maternity Ward

Picture it. Billions of little cribs lining heavenly nurseries. Heavenly Father pacing the halls, checking in on his celestial wives: “Another batch ready for Earth, dear?” Meanwhile Kolob shines outside the window like a nightlight. That’s not Scripture. That’s bad fanfiction.

Section 5: The Bible’s Teaching on Origins

The Bible is clear:

- Man begins at conception, not in a pre-existent nursery (Psalm 139:13–16).
- Jesus Christ is the only begotten Son — unique, eternal, one of a kind (John 1:14; John 3:16).
- Angels are created beings, not siblings of Christ (Heb. 1:5).

Smith’s system confuses Christ with His creation, blurs the Creator-creature distinction, and opens the door to every heresy under the sun.

Section 6: The Cosmic Ladder of Mormonism

Mormon pre-existence doctrine feeds into their ladder of progression:

1. Spirit baby in heaven.
2. Born on earth to prove yourself.
3. Baptized and sealed in the temple.
4. Exalted as a god.
5. Populate your own planet with spirit babies.

That’s not salvation. That’s a cosmic pyramid scheme. The Bible says salvation is a gift, not a ladder (Eph. 2:8–9). The Mormon ladder is just Babel rebuilt — a tower to heaven, one rung at a time.

Section 7: Kolob vs. the Third Heaven

Paul said he was caught up to “the third heaven” (2 Cor. 12:2). John was caught up to God’s throne (Rev. 4). Neither saw a star named Kolob. Neither hinted at a galactic HQ. Heaven in Scripture is God’s dwelling, beyond the created order. Kolob shrinks heaven down to a piece of astronomy.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon doesn't talk about Kolob directly — that came in Smith's later writings — but it laid the groundwork with pre-existence doctrines. By teaching men were known before this life, it cracked the door open for spirit babies, eternal families, and ultimately Kolob. The "other testament" sneaks in doctrines that the Bible condemns.

The result is another Jesus, another gospel, another heaven — another testament against itself.

Section 9: Sarcasm Interlude – Missionaries from Kolob

Imagine Mormon missionaries not on bicycles in Utah, but on spaceships from Kolob. "Excuse me sir, have you heard about the plan of salvation? Our Heavenly Father lives right over that star cluster, and if you sign up now, you too can populate your own galaxy!" That's not gospel. That's science fiction with a necktie.

Section 10: Conclusion — The God of the Bible vs. the God of Kolob

Here's the showdown:

- The Bible's God: Eternal, omnipresent, infinite, without beginning or end.
- Mormonism's god: Once a man, now lives near Kolob, father of spirit babies, limited in time and space.
- The Bible's Christ: Eternal Creator, Alpha and Omega, begotten not made.
- Mormonism's Christ: Firstborn spirit baby, brother of Lucifer, exalted to godhood.

One is the truth. The other is a lie wrapped in starlight.

At the end of the day, the KJV stands like a mountain. The Book of Mormon collapses like a comic book. Spirit babies and Kolob aren't revelation. They're delusion. Not another testament of Christ — another testament against itself.

25 of 30 – Eternal Families vs. Eternal Life

The Book of Mormon emphasizes families sealed forever. The KJV emphasizes eternal life in Christ (1 John 5:11–12).

Introduction: Sealed at the Altar or Saved by the Blood?

Mormonism promises you an eternal marriage, eternal children, eternal families — provided you show up to their temples, wear the apron, whisper the passwords, and endure to the end. It's a system of domestic salvation, with heaven turned into a cosmic suburbia. The Bible, in contrast, promises eternal life in Christ alone. Not in a marriage license. Not in a sealing ceremony. Not in a family tree. Eternal life is in the Son. Period.

One is a gospel of family idolization. The other is the gospel of Christ crucified.

Section 1: The Book of Mormon's Family Emphasis

The Book of Mormon sprinkles in verses about fathers, sons, and treasures in heaven

Book of Mormon

. It echoes continuity, covenant, and heritage. Later Mormon doctrine ran with this, crafting the teaching that families can be sealed for eternity.

That's why LDS temples are crammed with sealing rooms — mirrors reflecting to infinity, where couples kneel across altars and promise forever. They call it the “new and everlasting covenant of marriage.” Without it, they say, you're stuck in a lower kingdom, separated from loved ones.

Section 2: The Bible's Eternal Life in Christ

The KJV never points you to eternal marriage. It points you to eternal life.

- John 17:3 – *“This is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent.”*
- 1 John 5:11–12 – Eternal life is in the Son, not in a spouse.
- Luke 20:34–36 – Jesus said plainly: *“The children of this world marry, and are given in marriage: but they which shall be accounted worthy to obtain that world... neither marry, nor are given in marriage.”*

Marriage is temporary. Christ is eternal.

Section 3: Eternal Families — A False Hope

The LDS system plays on the heartstrings. Who wouldn't want to be with their spouse and children forever? But it weaponizes that desire, saying: *"Only in our temple, only by our priesthood authority, only through Joseph's keys can you keep your family."* It's spiritual blackmail.

The Bible says eternal life is a gift given freely through faith in Christ. Mormonism says eternal family is a prize earned through works, rites, and temple attendance.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven or Suburbia?

Imagine heaven as the LDS Church paints it: eternal PTA meetings, eternal carpools, eternal family reunions with Uncle Nephi and Cousin Alma. If that's "eternal joy," then no wonder the Bible says eye hath not seen nor ear heard what God has prepared. Heaven isn't an eternal potluck — it's eternal glory in Christ's presence.

Section 5: The Bible's View of Family

The Bible blesses family. Children are a heritage of the Lord (Ps. 127:3). Marriage is honorable (Heb. 13:4). But it never makes family the gospel. In fact, Jesus warned:

- Matthew 10:37 – *"He that loveth father or mother more than me is not worthy of me."*
- Mark 3:35 – *"Whosoever shall do the will of God, the same is my brother, and my sister, and mother."*

Christ doesn't extend salvation through bloodlines. He extends it through His blood.

Section 6: Eternal Life Is Not a Family Business

Salvation is personal. Eternal life is not inherited from parents, passed through a sealing ordinance, or guaranteed by a temple record. John 1:12 makes it plain: *"As many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God."*

That's the only family that matters eternally — the family of God, purchased by Christ's blood.

Section 7: Another Testament Against Itself

The Book of Mormon hints at eternal treasure and family continuity

Book of Mormon

. But the Bible says eternal life is not in a family structure, but in Christ alone. Once again, Mormonism twists desire into doctrine. It trades eternal life in Christ for eternal families in temples.

But the cross already declared it finished (John 19:30). The resurrection already secured eternal life. No sealing needed.

Section 8: Sarcasm Interlude – Who Gets the Last Word?

Picture the resurrection. The Mormon father shows up with paperwork from Salt Lake: “Here’s my sealing certificate, Lord. My family gets to stay together.” Jesus replies: “He that hath the Son hath life. He that hath not the Son hath not life.” Case closed.

Section 9: The Contrast Couldn’t Be Clearer

- Mormon doctrine: Eternal families, dependent on temple ordinances.
- KJV doctrine: Eternal life, dependent on Christ alone.
- Mormon doctrine: A family-centered eternity.
- Bible doctrine: A Christ-centered eternity.

Conclusion: Choose Eternal Life, Not Eternal Family Salesmanship

At the end of the day, you can have Joseph Smith’s eternal family plan — with its fees, rituals, and false hopes — or you can have Christ’s free gift of eternal life.

The Bible doesn’t promise eternal families. It promises eternal life. And that life is in His Son.

Not another testament of Christ. Another testament against itself.

26 of 30 – Contradictory Covenants

The “new covenant” of Christ is enough (Heb. 8:13). The Book of Mormon adds another covenant, nullifying grace.

Introduction: One Covenant, Not Two

The KJV Bible is crystal clear. God gave the old covenant through Moses. It served its purpose, pointed to Christ, and then was fulfilled. The blood of bulls and goats gave way to the blood of the Lamb. Hebrews 8:13 says: *“In that he saith, A new covenant, he hath made the first old. Now that which decayeth and waxeth old is ready to vanish away.”*

The New Covenant doesn’t need help. It doesn’t need supplements. It doesn’t need another round of Joseph Smith’s temple contracts. But Mormonism, through the Book of Mormon and later revelations, smuggles in “another covenant”—a hybrid monster of law, works, and rituals that drags the believer back under bondage. That’s not restoration. That’s regression.

Section 1: The Bible’s New Covenant

Let’s establish the baseline.

- Jeremiah 31:31–34 foretold the new covenant: God would write His law on hearts, forgive sins, and know His people intimately.
- Luke 22:20 – Jesus declared at the Last Supper, *“This cup is the new testament in my blood, which is shed for you.”*
- 2 Corinthians 3:6 – Paul said God “hath made us able ministers of the new testament; not of the letter, but of the spirit.”
- Hebrews 9:15 – Christ is the mediator of the new covenant, “that by means of death... they which are called might receive the promise of eternal inheritance.”

Notice the emphasis: Christ’s blood, once for all, securing forgiveness and eternal inheritance. No add-ons. No temple extensions. No priesthood paperwork.

Section 2: The Book of Mormon’s “Other Covenant”

The Book of Mormon drops hints and hammer-blows about “covenants” that go beyond the cross.

- Mosiah and Alma emphasize entering into covenants at baptism, binding yourself by oath to serve and keep commandments

Book of Mormon

.

- 3 Nephi 20 and 21 talk about a covenant to restore Israel in the last days, tying it to the Book of Mormon itself as a sign.
- Ether 2 and 12 describe covenants attached to land inheritances and obedience.

What’s happening here? Instead of one finished New Covenant in Christ, the Book of Mormon weaves a patchwork of lesser covenants—rituals, oaths, land promises, and obedience clauses—that mimic the Old Covenant system Christ already fulfilled.

Section 3: Hebrews Blows the Whole Thing Away

The Book of Mormon’s “covenants” crash headlong into Hebrews:

- Hebrews 7:22 – Christ is the surety of a better covenant.
- Hebrews 8:6 – He is mediator of a better covenant, established on better promises.
- Hebrews 9:12 – By His own blood He entered in once into the holy place, having obtained eternal redemption for us.
- Hebrews 10:18 – Where remission of sins is, there is no more offering for sin.

The New Covenant is final. To suggest we need “another covenant” (via Mormon baptismal oaths, temple rituals, sealing ordinances, etc.) is to declare Christ’s covenant insufficient. That’s blasphemy.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Heaven’s Paperwork

Imagine showing up at heaven’s gates. The blood of Christ has cleansed you, your name’s in the Lamb’s Book of Life, but an angel stops you: “Sorry, do you have your covenant papers from Salt Lake? Did you keep your end of the bargain—temple endowment, family sealing, tithing receipts?” That’s Mormonism’s vision of salvation: Christ plus paperwork. The Bible’s vision? Christ plus nothing.

Section 5: Paul's Guillotine in Galatians

Paul already dismantled this false covenant theology.

- Galatians 3:10 – *“For as many as are of the works of the law are under the curse.”*
- Galatians 3:17 – The covenant confirmed in Christ cannot be disannulled or added to.
- Galatians 5:4 – *“Christ is become of no effect unto you, whosoever of you are justified by the law; ye are fallen from grace.”*

That's exactly what Mormonism does—adds to the covenant. And in so doing, it nullifies grace.

Section 6: The Trap of “Restoration”

Mormon missionaries will say: “We’ve restored the covenants lost after the apostles died.” But that’s a smokescreen. The Bible never says the New Covenant would vanish and need restoration. Hebrews says it’s eternal. Christ said, *“Lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world”* (Matt. 28:20).

A “restored covenant” is a contradictory covenant. And contradictory covenants mean one thing: a false gospel.

Section 7: The KJV's Simplicity vs. Mormon Complexity

The KJV offers one covenant: Christ's blood. Simple. Finished. Assured.

The Book of Mormon and LDS tradition multiply covenants: baptismal covenants, sacrament covenants, temple covenants, marriage covenants, priesthood covenants. Each is a chain, tying the soul back to a system. It's Rome 2.0 — a treadmill of rituals dressed up as restored truth.

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

This series is called *Another Testament Against Itself* for a reason. The Book of Mormon calls itself a testament of Christ, but by teaching “other covenants,” it contradicts the very

gospel of Christ. Grace plus works is no grace at all. A finished covenant with add-ons is no longer finished.

The irony? By piling up covenants, the LDS system contradicts its own Book of Mormon verses that speak of eternal life being “through the redemption of Christ”

Book of Mormon

. Their covenants cancel themselves out.

Section 9: Sarcasm Interlude – The Contract God Never Signed

Think of salvation like a contract. The Bible says Jesus signed it with His blood and stamped it “paid in full.” Mormonism comes along with a stack of amendments: “Oh, but you also need to sign here, here, and here. Initial the corner. Submit to temple ordinances. Re-up annually with tithing. And remember — if you fail, contract void.” That’s not a covenant from heaven. That’s a scam from Utah.

Section 10: Conclusion — One Cross, One Covenant

At the end of the day, the choice is simple:

- The New Covenant of Christ: one sacrifice, one Savior, one finished work. Eternal, sufficient, sealed by blood.
- The contradictory covenants of Mormonism: a patchwork of oaths, rituals, and ordinances that nullify grace and contradict the Bible.

Hebrews says the old is gone, the new has come. The Book of Mormon says, “Wait, here’s another.” One is gospel. The other is heresy.

Not another testament of Christ. Another testament against itself.

27 of 30 – “Seer Stones” vs. Holy Spirit

Joseph Smith’s peep stones were occult witchcraft. Compare with the Holy Spirit’s leading (John 16:13).

Introduction: A Rock in a Hat vs. the Spirit of Truth

Let's cut to the chase. The founder of Mormonism, Joseph Smith, didn't translate golden plates with divine power. He dropped a rock in a hat, shoved his face in, and claimed words glowed for him to dictate. That isn't inspiration. That's divination. That isn't revelation. That's sorcery.

The Bible never once points a man to magic stones to receive God's Word. It points him to the Spirit of God. Jesus said plainly: "*When he, the Spirit of truth, is come, he will guide you into all truth*" (John 16:13).

One is the Spirit. The other is superstition.

Section 1: Joseph Smith's Peep Stones

Joseph Smith himself described how the plates, "the Urim and Thummim," and stones were supposedly given for translation

Book of Mormon

. But historians and even Mormon apologists admit he often didn't even look at the plates. Instead, he buried his face in a hat to block light while staring at a brown stone.

That's not biblical prophecy. That's fortune-telling dressed up in King James English.

Section 2: The Bible's Condemnation of Witchcraft

The Word of God is blunt:

- Deuteronomy 18:10–12 condemns divination and "observers of times."
- Leviticus 20:6 says the soul that turns to familiar spirits will be cut off.
- Acts 16:16–18 shows Paul casting a spirit of divination out of a girl—not founding a church on it.

Smith's method lines up perfectly with condemned occultism.

Section 3: Urim and Thummim vs. Joseph's Knock-Off

Yes, the Bible mentions Urim and Thummim in the Old Testament (Exodus 28:30). But those belonged to the high priest of Israel, under God's direct law, in a system already fulfilled by Christ. They weren't used by farm boys in New York in 1827 to crank out scripture.

Joseph's "seer stones" weren't biblical tools. They were folk magic props from his treasure-digging days. He never left them. He just baptized them into Mormonism.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – Magic 8-Ball Prophet

Let's be honest. Joseph Smith's seer stone was the 19th-century equivalent of a Magic 8-Ball. "Should we start polygamy? Outlook good." "Will Missouri become Zion? Ask again later." The difference? Kids know a Magic 8-Ball is a toy. Smith built a religion on his.

Section 5: The Holy Spirit's Role

Contrast this circus with the New Testament.

- John 14:26 – The Comforter teaches all things.
- 1 Corinthians 2:10 – God reveals by His Spirit.
- 2 Peter 1:21 – Holy men of God spake as moved by the Holy Ghost.

Notice: no stones, no hats, no occult props. Just the Spirit of God moving through men to record inspired Scripture.

Section 6: The Danger of Counterfeit Revelation

When you replace the Spirit with stones, you end up with false revelation. And the fruit proves it. The Book of Mormon contradicts Scripture, plagiarizes the KJV, and pushes false gospels. That's the mark of another spirit—not the Holy Spirit (2 Cor. 11:4).

Section 7: Another Testament Against Itself

By claiming peep stones were the method of God's revelation, Mormonism exposes itself. It isn't "restored truth." It's recycled sorcery. The Spirit that gave the KJV never needed stones in a hat.

Section 8: Sarcasm Interlude – The Hat Trick

The only miracle Joseph Smith ever pulled off was convincing men that sticking your face in a hat makes you a prophet. A rabbit out of a hat? That's a magician's trick. A gospel out of a hat? That's a deceiver's con.

Section 9: The Choice — Spirit or Stones?

At the end of the day:

- Joseph Smith: seer stones, peep hats, occult roots.
- Jesus Christ: the Spirit of truth, guiding into all truth.

Pick your guide. One leads to deception. The other leads to eternal life.

Conclusion: No Rock Can Replace the Spirit

The Spirit of God doesn't glow in a rock. He speaks through Scripture. He leads men into truth. He convicts, comforts, and testifies of Christ.

Joseph Smith's seer stones belong in the museum of magic tricks, not in the canon of Scripture.

Not another testament of Christ. Another testament against itself.

28 of 30 – When Prophets Contradict Each Other

Mormon vs. Moroni vs. Joseph Smith. Cross-quotes in the Book of Mormon collapse under their own weight.

Introduction: A House Divided

Jesus said it plainly: *"If a house be divided against itself, that house cannot stand"* (Mark 3:25). The Mormon "house of prophecy" is a split-level shack built on contradictions. You've got Mormon and Moroni supposedly editing the plates, Joseph Smith allegedly translating them, and then Joseph himself writing "revelations" that undercut what his supposed ancient prophets said. It's a circus of crossed wires.

The KJV Bible gives one Spirit, one gospel, one testimony. The Book of Mormon and Joseph Smith's Doctrine and Covenants give a hall of mirrors—prophets stepping on each other's toes, words clashing, and covenants collapsing.

Section 1: Mormon vs. Moroni

The Book of Mormon closes with two different voices: Mormon and Moroni.

- Mormon warns against secret combinations (Mormon 8).
- Moroni, later, recounts battles and says the Nephites are destroyed, but also repeats his father's warnings.
- Yet Joseph Smith, in his testimony, claims Moroni as the angel who delivered the plates

Book of Mormon

.

So which is it? Was Moroni a dead Nephite prophet, a battlefield survivor, or an angelic messenger? Mormonism can't decide.

Section 2: The Joseph-in-Egypt Prophecy

2 Nephi 3 presents Joseph in Egypt prophesying a future "seer" named Joseph, son of Joseph

Book of Mormon

Book of Mormon

. This "seer" is Joseph Smith. But that means the Book of Mormon isn't just scripture—it's a prophecy of its own author. That's self-authentication, the same as signing your own permission slip.

The contradiction is obvious: a supposed ancient prophet predicting the very translator who "restores" his words. It's prophecy that eats its own tail.

Section 3: Joseph Smith's Moving Goalposts

Joseph Smith's later revelations in Doctrine and Covenants contradict his own Book of Mormon.

- The Book of Mormon condemns polygamy (Jacob 2).
- Doctrine and Covenants 132 commands it.
- The Book of Mormon says the Bible is sufficient alongside it (2 Nephi 29).
- Smith later declared his own revelations as binding scripture, superseding both.

That's not consistency. That's doctrinal drift.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The Prophetic Tug-of-War

Picture it. Mormon says one thing, Moroni edits it, Joseph “translates” it, then later Joseph contradicts both. It's like a three-way tug-of-war where the rope snaps and everyone falls on their face. And Mormons call that “continuing revelation.” The Bible calls it confusion—and God is not the author of confusion (1 Cor. 14:33).

Section 5: Biblical Prophets vs. Mormon Prophets

When the prophets of the Bible spoke, they didn't contradict each other. Isaiah's visions harmonize with Jeremiah's warnings. Ezekiel's prophecies line up with Daniel's visions. The Spirit guided them into unity.

But Mormon “prophets”? One says polygamy is an abomination, another says it's eternal law. One says Christ is eternal God, another calls Him a spirit brother of Lucifer. One says Zion will be in Missouri, another spiritualizes it away when it doesn't happen. That's not inspiration. That's improvisation.

Section 6: The Self-Collapsing Testament

The Book of Mormon even admits its role: to confound false doctrine

Book of Mormon

. Ironically, it ends up confounding itself. Mormon says one thing. Moroni tweaks it. Joseph Smith contradicts both. And the LDS prophets after him twist it further.

The Bible doesn't need self-defense. Its unity across 1,500 years and 40 authors proves its divine origin. The Book of Mormon's contradictions prove its human fraud.

Section 7: Sarcasm Interlude – The Mormon “Harmony” Choir

Imagine the Mormon prophets as a choir. Mormon sings bass, Moroni sings tenor, Joseph tries to lead, but each sings in a different key. The result isn't harmony—it's a train wreck. Yet the LDS Church hands you the recording and says, “Behold, the most correct music on earth!”

Section 8: Another Testament Against Itself

By setting up Mormon, Moroni, and Joseph as prophetic voices, the LDS system handed itself a loaded gun. Their cross-quotes and contradictions expose the fraud. Instead of one Spirit, it's three voices clashing. Instead of unity, it's collapse.

And that's why it fails. The Bible stands. The Book of Mormon falls under its own weight.

Conclusion: One Spirit vs. Many Contradictions

- The KJV gives one gospel, one covenant, one Spirit.
- Mormonism gives Mormon vs. Moroni vs. Joseph, all contradicting.
- The Bible points to Christ alone. Mormonism points to chaos.

When prophets contradict each other, they prove themselves false. Joseph Smith, Moroni, and Mormon can't agree because their system isn't divine. It's another testament against itself.

29 of 30 – The Last Word is Already Written

Revelation 22:18–19 seals the Bible. The Book of Mormon adds, then self-condemns.

Introduction: When God Says “Don't Add,” You Don't Add

The Book of Revelation closes the canon with a divine warning label. God didn't leave it vague. He didn't leave it open-ended. He wrote a sign in blood-red letters across the last page: "Don't add. Don't subtract. Don't tamper."

Revelation 22:18–19:

- *"If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book."*
- *"And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life."*

You don't need a PhD to understand that. God said the book is finished. Christ said "It is finished" (John 19:30). The Spirit said the canon is complete.

And then along came Joseph Smith, waving his new book like a salesman with a cheap knockoff. He ignored the last word and dug his own grave with it.

Section 1: The Bible's Closure

From Genesis to Revelation, God progressively revealed His truth. Each prophet, each apostle, each inspired writer added bricks until the house was complete. By the time John penned Revelation on Patmos, the roof was on, the door was locked, and the paint was dry.

- Jude 3 says the faith was *"once delivered unto the saints."* Not twice. Once.
- 2 Timothy 3:16–17 says Scripture is sufficient to make the man of God perfect, thoroughly furnished. Nothing lacking.
- Revelation ends with a curse for anyone who adds or subtracts.

The canon was closed. The last word was already written.

Section 2: Joseph Smith's Arrogance

Joseph Smith either never read Revelation 22 or read it and didn't care. He dared to publish a whole new book in 1830, call it scripture, and slap Christ's name on it. He titled it: *"Another Testament of Jesus Christ."*

Right there, the con is exposed. If the Testament of Christ was already written and sealed, what's "another" doing on the stage? Paul already warned about "another gospel" in

Galatians 1:8–9: “*Let him be accursed.*” The Book of Mormon is doubly cursed — once by Galatians, once by Revelation.

Section 3: The Book of Mormon’s Self-Condensation

Ironically, the Book of Mormon itself condemns its existence.

- 2 Nephi 29:6 says, “Thou fool, that shall say: A Bible, we have got a Bible, and we need no more Bible.” Yet the KJV says the Bible is enough (2 Tim. 3:16).
- Mosiah 18 makes baptism into a covenant of works

Book of Mormon

, which contradicts Ephesians 2:8–9.

- The book constantly quotes the KJV word-for-word, proving it had no new revelation.

If it was truly “new,” it wouldn’t plagiarize. If it was truly scripture, it wouldn’t contradict. If it was truly of God, it wouldn’t exist, because God already said “no more.”

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The Divine Addendum Office

Picture heaven as Mormonism paints it. The Bible closes in AD 95. Angels file the manuscript. Then centuries later, God supposedly calls Joseph Smith into His office: “Joe, I forgot a few things. Write another book for me.” That makes God look forgetful, sloppy, and indecisive. That’s not the God of the Bible. That’s a false god propped up by a false prophet.

Section 5: The Authority of Final Words

Final words carry weight.

- On the cross, Jesus’ last word was “*It is finished.*”
- In Revelation, God’s last word is “*Don’t add.*”
- The Spirit’s last testimony is “*Surely I come quickly. Amen.*”

No wiggle room. No sequel needed. No “director’s cut” in 1830.

When a man ignores those final words, he brands himself a liar. Joseph Smith didn't just add footnotes. He added whole volumes. And Revelation 22:18 says the plagues are coming for him.

Section 6: The Curse on Additions

Let's take Revelation's curse seriously.

- Add to the book? Plagues are added to you.
- Take from the book? Your name is taken out of the book of life.

The LDS Church added temples, priesthoods, baptisms for the dead, Kolob, polygamy, spirit babies, celestial kingdoms, and a library of contradictory scripture. That's not just an "oops." That's a direct hit on God's warning. And if Revelation 22 is true, the whole system is cursed from its foundation.

Section 7: The Unity of the Bible vs. the Contradictions of the Book of Mormon

The Bible's unity is a miracle. Forty authors, 1,500 years, multiple languages, and one message: Christ crucified, risen, coming again.

The Book of Mormon? Written in the 1800s, plagiarizing the KJV, contradicting itself, and leading people into a works-based system. That's not unity. That's confusion. And God is not the author of confusion (1 Cor. 14:33).

Section 8: Sarcasm Interlude – The Eternal Cliffhanger

Imagine finishing the Bible. The credits roll. The music swells. Then a post-credits scene pops up: "Coming Soon — Another Testament." No, brother, there's no sequel. The gospel isn't Marvel. When God said "The End," He meant it.

Section 9: Why People Fall for It

So why did millions fall for the Book of Mormon? Because people hate the finality of Scripture. They don't want a finished word. They want wiggle room. They want a prophet they can see, a temple they can visit, a system they can control. The Book of Mormon scratches that itch. It gives them religion instead of redemption.

But religion can't save. Only Christ can.

Section 10: Conclusion — The Last Word Stands

Here's the showdown:

- The Bible's last word: *Don't add*.
- Joseph Smith's first word: *Add everything*.
- The Bible's last promise: *Life in the Lamb*.
- The Book of Mormon's last curse: self-destruction.

At the end of the day, the King James Bible closes the canon. The Book of Mormon opens a can of worms. One gives eternal life. The other gives eternal plagues.

The last word is already written. Don't follow the man who tried to rewrite it.

30 of 30 – Conclusion: Another Testament Against Itself

Wrap-up: the Book of Mormon implodes under scrutiny. The KJV stands unshaken as the Word of God.

Introduction: End of the Road

We've walked through 29 chapters of exposure. We've seen the fake history, the failed prophecies, the DNA evidence, the contradictions, the plagiarism, the necromancy, and the blasphemy. If the Book of Mormon were on trial, the jury would have already come back, slammed the verdict, and gone home for supper. Guilty as charged.

Now it's time to drive the nail home. The Book of Mormon is not "Another Testament of Jesus Christ." It is another testament against Jesus Christ, against the gospel of grace, against the final authority of Scripture. It is not divine revelation. It is man-made fabrication.

Section 1: The Book of Mormon's False Claims

The Book of Mormon calls itself “the most correct book on earth.” Yet it is riddled with errors:

- **Geographical nonsense** — Jerusalem by the Red Sea (1 Nephi 2:5).
- **Technological anachronisms** — steel swords and horses before Columbus (1 Nephi 4:9; Alma 18:9).
- **KJV plagiarism** — Isaiah word-for-word from 1611 in a 600 BC setting.
- **False prophecy** — Zion in Missouri, temple “in this generation,” never happened.
- **Contradictory theology** — salvation by grace vs. salvation by works, monogamy vs. polygamy, eternal families vs. eternal life.

If it were tested by the same standard Joseph Smith claimed (Deut. 18:22), it fails. One failed prophecy brands him false. He had dozens.

Section 2: The Bible’s Finality

The King James Bible doesn’t need a sequel.

- 2 Timothy 3:16–17 — Scripture is sufficient to make the man of God perfect.
- Jude 3 — The faith was once delivered to the saints.
- Revelation 22:18–19 — Don’t add, don’t subtract.

The canon is closed. The last word is written. The Bible is final authority, not Joseph Smith’s rock-in-a-hat revelations.

Section 3: The Fruits of Mormonism

Jesus said, “*By their fruits ye shall know them*” (Matt. 7:20). Let’s check the orchard:

- Racism: dark skin as a curse on the Lamanites.
- False prophecies: Missouri temple, Christ’s return by 1890.
- Polygamy: commanded, then denied, then spiritualized.
- Occultism: seer stones, peep hats, divination.
- Blasphemy: Christ and Lucifer as brothers.

- Works salvation: grace “after all you can do” (2 Nephi 25:23).

The fruit stinks. Rotten to the core.

Section 4: Sarcasm Interlude – The “Most Correct” Disaster

If the Book of Mormon is “the most correct book on earth,” then my lawnmower is a Ferrari. You don’t need a seminary degree to spot the errors. All you need is a Bible, a map, a history book, and a DNA test. Yet millions are told to pray about it instead of thinking about it. That’s not revelation — that’s indoctrination.

Section 5: The KJV vs. the BOM

Let’s stack them side by side:

- **KJV:** “For by grace are ye saved through faith... not of works” (Eph. 2:8–9).
- **BOM:** “It is by grace that we are saved, after all we can do” (2 Nephi 25:23).
- **KJV:** “Neither marry, nor are given in marriage” (Luke 20:35).
- **BOM & LDS:** Families sealed forever, eternal marriage.
- **KJV:** “Christ Jesus came into the world to save sinners” (1 Tim. 1:15).
- **BOM & LDS:** Temple rites, baptisms for the dead, covenants upon covenants.

The Bible lifts Christ up. The Book of Mormon drags Him down.

Section 6: The Book Condemns Itself

The irony is thick:

- The Book of Mormon claims to restore truth. Yet it plagiarizes the KJV and contradicts it.
- It claims to point to Christ. Yet it preaches works, oaths, and ordinances.
- It claims to bring unity. Yet it divides into splinter groups, each with their own prophets.
- It claims to be ancient. Yet it reeks of 19th-century paranoia about Masons and revivalism.

It's not another testament of Christ. It's another testament against itself.

Section 7: The Spirit vs. the Stones

The Bible says the Spirit leads into all truth (John 16:13). Joseph Smith shoved his face in a hat with a peep stone. One is divine inspiration. The other is divination. One leads to eternal life. The other leads to damnation.

Section 8: The Gospel vs. the Counterfeit

Paul warned in Galatians 1:8 that if anyone — even an angel — preached another gospel, let him be accursed. Mormonism claims an angel named Moroni gave Joseph Smith the plates. Paul's words slam that door shut: it's another gospel, therefore accursed.

The KJV gospel is simple: Christ died for our sins, was buried, and rose again (1 Cor. 15:3–4). Believe and be saved. No works. No rituals. No temples. No Kolob.

Section 9: Sarcasm Interlude – The Mormon “Heaven”

If Mormon heaven is real, you're stuck eternally pregnant on a planet named Kolob, ruled by men who once shoved rocks in hats. If Bible heaven is real, you're in the presence of Christ, singing before His throne. Which one do you want? That's not even a choice.

Section 10: Final Verdict

- The Book of Mormon: fraud, fantasy, fiction, contradiction.
- The King James Bible: truth, power, prophecy, perfection.

Joseph Smith gave us another testament against itself. The Bible gave us the final word. And the final word is this: Christ is enough. His blood is enough. His resurrection is enough. His Word is enough.

No other testament. No other covenant. No other gospel.

Conclusion: The Sword and the Hammer

The 30-part series comes to an end, but the truth doesn't. The KJV stands like granite. The Book of Mormon lies in the dust. You can't fix it, patch it, or defend it. It is a fraud, a counterfeit, and a curse.

Revelation already warned: don't add. Joseph Smith added, and the plagues will follow.

The Bible is not shaken. It never will be. The grass withers, the flower fades, but the word of our God shall stand forever (Isa. 40:8).

Another testament? No. Another gospel? No. Another prophet? No.

It's another lie. Another curse. Another testament against itself.

Conclusion to Another Testament Against Itself

The Bible Stands, the Counterfeit Falls

We've taken thirty essays and laid the Book of Mormon bare. From its phony geography to its plagiarized scripture, from its false prophecies to its occult origins, from its works-based gospel to its absurd doctrines of spirit babies and planet Kolob — every stone has been overturned, and every lie has been dragged into the light.

What's left? A pile of rubble. Joseph Smith's "gold plates" turned to fool's gold, his angel to a devil in disguise, his "most correct book" to the most exposed fraud in religious history.

The King James Bible, on the other hand, has never needed defending — it only needs declaring. It is pure, it is final, it is complete. Revelation 22 closed the canon with a curse on anyone who would dare add. Joseph Smith added, and the curse landed squarely on his head.

Why the Fight Was Worth It

This wasn't written for curiosity. It wasn't written for debate club. It was written for souls. Because behind every door those missionaries knock on is someone being led down a road to hell dressed up as heaven. Behind every "family forever" sales pitch is a false gospel that damns. And behind every polished LDS temple is a system that rejects the one true Temple — Jesus Christ Himself.

If one soul gets pulled from that lie because the Bible was exalted above the Book of Mormon, then the fight was worth every drop of ink.

The Verdict

- The Book of Mormon is **not another testament of Jesus Christ**. It is another testament against Him.
- The LDS gospel is **not the gospel of grace**. It is a system of bondage.
- The Mormon Jesus is **not the Jesus of Scripture**. He is a fabricated idol.

But the Bible? The Bible is still here. Pure. Perfect. Preserved. Every prophecy fulfilled. Every word true. Every promise sure.

The Last Word

The Book of Mormon began with a lie and ends with a curse. The Bible began with “In the beginning God” and ends with “Even so, come, Lord Jesus.”

One book damns. One book saves. One is a fraud. One is forever.

Let the Mormons cling to their “another testament.” As for us, we will cling to the old Book that never changes. The Word of God that abides forever. The King James Bible.

Case closed.